# Occurrence and antibiotic resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* in retail broiler chicken

<sup>1</sup>Usha, M.R., <sup>2</sup>Fauziah, M., <sup>1</sup>Tunung, R., <sup>1</sup>Chai, L. C., <sup>3</sup>Cheah, Y. K., <sup>1</sup>Farinazleen, M. G. and <sup>1\*</sup>Son, R.

<sup>1</sup>Centre of Excellence for Food Safety Research, Faculty of Food Science and Technology, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
<sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

**Abstract:** Broiler part samples (80 fresh and 80 chilled) were examined for the prevalence and numbers of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* by employing most-probable-number (MPN) and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) techniques. The prevalence of the bacteria was high where *C. jejuni* was detected in 92.5% fresh and 53.8% chilled samples while *C. coli* in 80.0% fresh and 56.3% chilled. The number of these bacteria in the positive fresh and chilled samples was from 3 to more than 2400 MPN/g and from 3 to 290 MPN/g, respectively. Antibiotic resistance test (using Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method) on 10 *C. jejuni* and 13 *C. coli* isolates toward ampicillin, tobramycin, enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, cephalothin, gentamicin and norfloxacin revealed high resistance toward all antibiotics (20.0% - 100.0%). All isolates were resistant to at least two antibiotics. This study highlights the potential of multidrug-resistant *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* transmission to humans through fresh and chilled broiler parts. Consecutive studies with bigger sample sizes and covering all over Malaysia are warranted in future.

Keywords: Broiler chicken, C. jejuni, C. coli, prevalence, antibiotic resistance

#### Introduction

Poultry meat is often suggested as the major source of campylobacteriosis (Andersen *et al.*, 2006; Peyrat *et al.*, 2008). There are many species of *Campylobacter*, but only the thermotolerant *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* are by far the most common in infecting humans (Yan *et al.*, 2005). A low dose of *Campylobacter* (500-800 organisms) is sufficient to cause infections (Konkel *et al.*, 2001).

*Campylobacter* has not only emerged as a hazard to consumers in relation to food poisoning, but also through the spread of antibiotic resistance (Cardinale *et al.*, 2006). There has been a link between antibiotic use in food producing animals, emergence of antibiotic resistance in *E. coli, Salmonella*, Enterococci and *Campylobacter* in treated animals, and transfer of these resistant organisms (or their resistance genes) to humans through the food chain (Akinbowale *et al.*, 2006). Resistance toward the antibiotics recommended for the treatment of *Campylobacter* infections increases the need for alternate antibiotics, which are limited (Hong *et al.*, 2007).

Therefore, apart from the necessity to know

the bacterial prevalence and numbers in retail chicken and implementing proper control measures in reducing them, it is also crucial to actively monitor the antibiotic resistance in order to obtain surveillance data and develop measures to extend the life of antibiotics used, which in return will protect the public health. Apart from the very few studies on *Campylobacter* done in Malaysia (Radu *et al.*, 1996; Saleha, 2002; Tan *et al.*, 2008; Tan *et al.*, 2009; Tang *et al.*, 2009), the current work can be included as one of the preliminary assessment of the *Campylobacter* spp. occurrence and antibiotic resistance. The objective of the study was to determine the prevalence, numbers and antibiotic resistance patterns of *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* isolates from broiler part samples.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Sample collection and preparation

Eighty fresh and 80 chilled broiler part samples were purchased randomly from a range of retail outlets (wet markets and supermarkets) in Serdang and Seri Kembangan (two adjacent towns in the state of Selangor). Samplings were done weekly for a period of eight months (January 2006 to August 2006). Eight types of parts were studied (thighs + drumsticks, breasts, livers, gizzards, feet, wings, keels and bishop's nose) for fresh and chilled samples, respectively, with ten samples for each type. Wet markets sell live broilers that are slaughtered, plucked and portioned upon purchase. Supermarkets sell broiler parts at 4°C in Styrofoam trays which are over-wrapped with polyvinylidene film. Samples were transported to the laboratory in separate insulated cool boxes containing ice packs and were processed within 2 hours of being collected.

Sample preparation was carried out by adapting the method of Whyte *et al.* (2004). Portions of each broiler part sample (skin and muscle) were collected to make 10 g, and were homogenized for 1 minute in a sterile stomacher bag containing 90 ml of the Bolton selective enrichment broth (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany).

#### Most-probable-number (MPN)

A ten-fold MPN dilution series (three dilutions with three tubes per dilution) was prepared from the homogenate in Bolton selective enrichment broth (Lindquist, 2001). A control tube consisting of Bolton selective enrichment broth was also prepared. The MPN tubes and control tube were incubated in anaerobic gas jars at 42°C for 48 h under microaerophilic conditions (5-7%  $O_2$  and 8-10%  $CO_2$ ) which was achieved using a gas generating

sachet, Anaerocult C (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). After incubation, the MPN tubes were observed for the presence or absence of growth. Genomic DNA from the enrichment in MPN tubes with the presence of growth was extracted using the boiling method (Mohran *et al.*, 1998) and kept at 4°C to be used for species-specific PCR reaction.

#### Primers

Oligonucleotide primers, derived from the *hip* and *ceuE* gene, were used to detect *C. jejuni* and *C. coli*, respectively. The primers were synthesized by First Base Laboratories, Selangor, Malaysia. The sequences of the primers are shown in Table 1.

#### Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

By adapting the method of Gonzalez et al. (1997), the optimized PCR assay was performed in a 25  $\mu$ l volume containing 2.5  $\mu$ l of 10x PCR buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.1), 0.5  $\mu$ l of 10 mM dNTP, 1.25  $\mu$ l of 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.25  $\mu$ l of 0.625 units of *Taq* DNA polymerase (Vivantis Technologies, Selangor, Malaysia), 1.0  $\mu$ l of each 10  $\mu$ M primer, 16.5  $\mu$ l of sterile distilled water and 2.0  $\mu$ l of DNA template. Amplification reactions were performed in a Gene Amp PCR System 2400 thermocycler (Perkin Elmer) according to the optimized PCR amplification parameters shown in Table 2. Reference strains (positive controls) were obtained from the World

Table 1. Primer sets for hip and ceuE gene for detecting C. jejuni and C. coli, respectively

Gene	Oligonucleotide primer sequences	Reference	Target gene (amplicon size [bp])
hip	Forward: 5'-GAAGAGGGTTTGGGTGGTG-3'	Linton <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1997	735
	Reverse: 5'-GAAGAGGGTTTGGGTGGTG-3'		
ceuE	Forward: 5'-ATGAAAAAATATTTAGTTTTTGCA-3'	Gonzalez <i>et al.</i> , 1997	894
	Reverse: 5'-ATTTTATTATTTGTAGCAGCG-3'		

#### Table 2. PCR amplification parameters

Step	Number	Temperature, °C (Duration of time, minute	
	of cycles	hip gene	ceuE gene
Initial denaturation	1	94(5)	94(2)
Denaturation		94(0.5)	94(0.5)
Primer annealing	30	58(0.5)	55(0.5)
Chain extension		72(0.5)	72(0.5)
Final extension	1	72(7)	72(5)

Health Organization (WHO). Meanwhile, PCR reaction mix without DNA template, amplified under the same condition as PCR reaction mix with DNA template, was used as negative controls.

PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in 1.0% agarose gel at 90 V for 1 h in 1x Tris-Borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer. The agarose gels were stained in ethidium bromide ( $0.5 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$ ) for 5 minutes and were viewed under an ultraviolet (UV) transilluminator (SynGene Gel Documentation System).

## MPN-PCR analysis

A dilution tube was scored positive for *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* when a PCR product was obtained. The number of positive tubes from each of the dilution were counted and were expressed as a series of numbers separated by dashes, starting with the lowest dilution (Entis, 2002). A three-tube MPN table (Lindquist, 2001) was used to convert the series of numbers to MPN of *C. jejuni* or *C. coli* per gram, by multiplying the MPN in the middle set of tubes with the dilution factor of middle dilution (10<sup>-2</sup>).

## Isolation of C. jejuni and C. coli

A loopful of the *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* PCR-positiveenrichment was spread plated on modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The plates were incubated at 42°C for 48 h under microaerophilic conditions. Typical grey to light tan, flat to slightly raised and round with a diameter of 1-2 mm *Campylobacter*like colonies (Donnison, 2003) were subcultured on mCCDA and confirmed to the species level (*C. jejuni* and *C. coli*) by PCR and kept as glycerol stocks at -20°C.

#### Antibiotic resistance test

Cultures of C. jejuni and C. coli, grown overnight in Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) at 42°C under microaerophilic conditions, were swabbed onto MH agar plates (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) using sterile cotton swabs. After swabbing, each inoculum was dried for 5 to 10 minutes. Standard antimicrobial susceptibility test discs (ampicillin [AMP, 10 µg/disc], tobramycin [TOB, 10 µg/disc], enrofloxacin [ENR, 5 µg/disc], ciprofloxacin [CIP, 5 µg/disc], tetracycline [TE, 30 µg/disc], cephalothin [KF, 30 µg/disc], gentamicin [CN, 10 µg/disc] and norfloxacin [NOR, 10 µg/disc] - Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) were placed onto the surface of the plate using a disc dispenser. The plate was inverted and incubated at 37°C for 24 h under microaerophilic conditions. The diameter of the growth inhibition zones was measured and interpreted as resistant or susceptible to the respective antibiotic in accord with the zone diameter breakpoints of National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (1990; 1997-a; 1997-b; 2002).

## Data analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using SAS statistical program (version 8.02; SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina). The Z-test on two proportions was used to analyze the statistical difference (P = 0.05) in the *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* contamination rate, respectively: (i) between the total fresh and chilled broiler samples and (ii) among the total fresh and among the chilled broiler samples. Analysis using randomized complete block design (RCBD) was employed to test if (i) types of broiler parts affect the prevalence rate of *Campylobacter* spp. in fresh and chilled broiler samples and (ii) types of antibiotics influence resistance on *Campylobacter* spp.

## Results

Occurrence of C. jejuni and C. coli were identified in all types of broiler part samples except chilled feet where no C. jejuni was detected (Table 3). A comparatively low prevalence of C. coli was found in chilled gizzards and feet (20% and 10%, respectively). C. jejuni was found in 60% of the chilled gizzards (Table 3). The rest of the fresh and chilled samples had 50% to 100% prevalence for both C. jejuni and C. coli. Both species were found significantly more prevalent in total fresh samples than in total chilled (P < 0.05) (Table 3). Incidence of C. jejuni was significantly higher than C. coli in the total fresh samples (P < 0.05); C. coli were found slightly more than C. jejuni in the total chilled samples but the difference was not significant (P > 0.05). Contamination with both species from 3 to more than 2400 MPN/g and from 3 to 290 MPN/g were detected in fresh and chilled samples, respectively (Table 3).

When both prevalence and MPN were taken into account, fresh keels were found to be contaminated the most with *C. jejuni* (100%, exceeding 2400 MPN/g) while fresh gizzards were contaminated the most with *C. coli* (80%, exceeding 2400 MPN/g). Chilled feet were least contaminated with these two species (*C. jejuni* [0%], *C. coli* [10%, 3-75 MPN/g]). Nevertheless, the analyses of RCBD showed that the types of broiler parts did not influence the prevalence rate of *Campylobacter* spp. in fresh and chilled broiler.

The resistance rate of the *Campylobacter* isolates against the eight types of antibiotics was generally high, from 20% to 100% (Table 4). Analysis of

Chicken Number of		Number <sup>1</sup> (%)			
samples	broiler samples	Number <sup>1</sup> containing 3-75 MPN/g (%), 150-290 _MPN/g (%), > 2400 MPN/g (%)			
		C. jejuni	C. coli		
Thighs +	Fresh (n=10)	9 (90.0)	9 (90.0)		
drumsticks		9 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	9 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	6 (60.0)	8 (80.0)		
		5 (83.3), 1 (16.7), 0 (0)	8 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)		
Breasts	Fresh (n=10)	10 (100.0)	10 (100.0)		
		10 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	10 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	6 (60.0)	10 (100.0)		
		6 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	10 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)		
Livers	Fresh (n=10)	9 (90.0)	6 (60.0)		
	~ /	9 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	6 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	7 (70.0)	5 (50.0)		
	( )	7 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	5 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)		
Gizzards	Fresh (n=10)	9 (90.0)	8 (80.0)		
		9 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	6 (75.0), 0 (0), 2 (25.0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	6 (60.0)	2 (20.0)		
		6 (100.0), 0 (0), 0 (0)	2(100.0), 0(0), 0(0)		
Feet	Fresh (n=10)	8 (80.0)	8 (80.0)		
		6 (75.0), 2 (25.0), 0 (0)	7 (87.5), 1 (12.5), 0 (0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	0(0)	1 (10.0)		
		-	1(1000) 0(0) 0(0)		
Wings	Fresh (n=10)	10 (100 0)	7 (70 0)		
() III 85		10(1000) $0(0) 0(0)$	7(1000) 0(0) 0(0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	6 (60 0)	6 (60 0)		
		5(833) 1(167) 0(0)	6(1000) 0(0) 0(0)		
Keels	Fresh (n=10)	10(1000)	9 (90 0)		
110010		9(900) 0(0) 1(100)	9(1000) 0(0) 0(0)		
	Chilled (n=10)	6 (60 0)	8 (80 0)		
		6(1000) 0(0) 0(0)	8 (100 0) 0 (0) 0 (0)		
Bishon's	Fresh (n=10)	9 (90 0)	7 (70 0)		
nose		8 (88 9) 1 (11 1) 0 (0)	7(1000) 0(0) 0(0)		
11000	Chilled (n=10)	6 (60 0)	5 (50 0)		
	c	6(1000) 0(0) 0(0)	4(800) 1(200) 0(0)		
Total	Fresh $(n=80)$	$74 (92.5^2)$	$64 (80 0^3)$		
10141		70(94.6) 3(4.1) 1(1.4)	61(953) 1(16) 2(31)		
	Chilled $(n=80)$	$43(53 8^4)$	$45(563^4)$		
		41 (95 3) 2 (4 7) 0 (0)	44(97.8) + (2.2) + 0.00		

## Table 3. Prevalence and MPN of C. jejuni and C. coli in broiler parts

RCBD showed that the types of antibiotics influenced resistance on *Campylobacter* spp. In both species, resistance to  $\beta$ -lactams predominated while resistance to aminoglycosides was the lowest. Resistance to cephalothin was a common characteristic of all *Campylobacter* isolates examined (Table 5). Meanwhile, resistance to ampicillin was a common feature in *C. jejuni*, as was enrofloxacin to *C. coli*. Resistant pattern that can be connected to particular species was not found.

## Discussion

A large number of *Campylobacter* spp. are harbored by the intestinal tract of chicken, especially the ceca and colon. During processing activities, where the tract may leak or rupture, its contents would be transferred to the skin of carcasses (Scherer et al., 2006-b). Fecal contamination of carcasses is hard to avoid during processing activities (Hong et al., 2007), but poor processing techniques and sanitation conditions would certainly increase it. Processing activities at the wet markets studied in this study were done at the same place repeatedly for every batch of flocks. The action of slaughtering, defeathering, eviscerating, washing, portioning and retailing at the same place would greatly enhance the contamination of broiler samples with *Campylobacter*, as evidenced in our previous study (Chai et al., 2008; Usha et al., 2010). When it comes to washing and portioning, all the carcasses were washed a few times inside the same few basins of unchanged water while unwashed cutting boards and knives were used repeatedly. The washing system practiced cannot successfully remove Campylobacter from carcasses. The suitable microenvironment of the skin enables the persistence and survival of Campylobacter in feather follicles at room temperature, 4°C or frozen condition (Lillard, 1988; Scherer et al., 2006-b).

The real situation in supermarkets is not revealed to the public, but contamination might have occurred at the processing or packaging unit. Dirty defeathered and degutted broiler carcasses are bathed together in a chill tank; increased organic load are usually detectable in the tanks depending on the overall efficiency of the carcass washing system, including number of washers and types (Consumers' Association of Penang [CAP], 2002; Keener *et al.*, 2004). Although a certain amount of chlorine is added in the washing and chilling system, organic matter can rapidly inactivate them and if the chlorine were to be active, the skin may protect *Campylobacter* (Sánchez *et al.*, 2002).

The prevalence of C. jejuni and C. coli in total

chilled samples, respectively, were high but were significantly lesser than those in total fresh (P < 0.05) (Table 3). The viability of campylobacters is affected when they undergo stress including rapid changes in temperature, osmolarity, oxygen tension and nutrient deprivation; however, it is most likely that a microaerophilic atmosphere suitable for *Campylobacter*'s survival is created when chicken samples are packed in polyvinylidene film-overwrapped Styrofoam trays and stored under chilled temperature (Wallace, 2003; Diergaardt *et al.*, 2004; Sallam, 2007).

This study found more than 90% of PCR-positive fresh and chilled samples containing *C. jejuni* and *C. coli* from 3 to 75 MPN/g (Table 3). No MPN limit for *Campylobacter* (maximum number of *Campylobacter* allowed to be present on per gram of raw retail chicken) has been established in Malaysia so far. MPN, as most food safety microbiological criteria, suggests the possibility of hazard, not the hazard itself. However, reducing the possibility of hazard would certainly diminish the hazard.

Different studies identified different types of chicken parts as the most or least contaminated with *Campylobacter* (Kotula and Pandya, 1995; Sallam, 2007; Stoyanchev *et al.*, 2007). The question of which type of chicken part is contaminated the most (regarding both prevalence and numbers) may depend on the extent of contamination that occurs during processing or packaging activities, resulting in irregular occurrence of *Campylobacter* spp. throughout the year. In this study, chilled feet at purchase had their outer layer peeled off leaving the samples too dry under the low temperature (4°C) storage, which might account for their very low and zero prevalence of *C. coli* and *C. jejuni*, respectively (Table 3).

The high rate of resistance in this study may reflect the unrestricted and indiscriminate use of antibiotics at subtherapeutic doses in broilers for prophylaxis, growth promotion, and infection treatment (Saleha, 2002; Olah *et al.*, 2006). Withdrawal period to allow antibiotics used to leave the broilers before they are slaughtered may not be practiced. Stressing agents such as antibiotics used in processing activities, for instance chilling water tank, may result in the selection of microflora too (Sánchez *et al.*, 2002).

Generally, *Campylobacter* spp. are inherently resistant to  $\beta$ -lactams due to their ability to produce  $\beta$ -lactamases, low affinity binding of  $\beta$ -lactams to the target (penicillin-binding proteins [PBPs]), or failure of the drugs to penetrate the outer membrane porins (Engberg *et al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2007). Cross-resistance among *Campylobacter* strains to enrofloxacin,

Isolates	C. jejuni (N = 10)		C. coli (N = 13)	
Antibiotics	Number <sup>5</sup>	<b>0</b> /0 <sup>5</sup>	Number <sup>5</sup>	<b>%</b> 0 <sup>5</sup>
Ampicillin	10	100.0	12	92.3
Cephalothin	10	100.0	13	100.0
Enrofloxacin	7	70.0	13	100.0
Ciprofloxacin	5	50.0	11	84.6
Norfloxacin	6	60.0	6	46.2
Tetracycline	8	80.0	10	76.9
Tobramycin	3	30.0	6	46.2
Gentamicin	2	20.0	5	38.5
Resistance to				
2 antibiotics	1	10.0	0	0.0
3 antibiotics	1	10.0	0	0.0
4 antibiotics	1	10.0	0	0.0
5 antibiotics	2	20.0	7	53.8
6 antibiotics	4	40.0	3	23.1
7 antibiotics	0	0.0	1	7.7
8 antibiotics	1	10.0	2	15.4

Table 4. Antibiotic resistance of C. jejuni and C. coli

<sup>5</sup>Resistance isolates

Table 5. Antibiotic resistance patterns of C. jejuni and C. coli isolates

Resistance pattern (pattern number)	C. jejuni		C. coli	
	Number <sup>6</sup>	<b>⁰∕₀</b> <sup>6</sup>	Number <sup>6</sup>	<b>%</b> 6
Amp Kf(1)	1	10.0	0	0.0
Amp Kf Te (2)	1	10.0	0	0.0
Amp Kf Te Cn (3)	1	10.0	0	0.0
Amp Kf Te Enr Tob (4)	1	10.0	0	0.0
Amp Kf Enr Cip Nor (5)	1	10.0	1	7.7
Amp Kf Te Enr Cip (6)	0	0.0	4	30.8
Amp Kf Enr Cip Tob (7)	0	0.0	1	7.7
Amp Kf Enr Cip Cn (8)	0	0.0	1	7.7
Amp Kf Te Enr Cip Nor (9)	4	40.0	1	7.7
Amp Kf Te Enr Nor Tob (10)	0	0.0	1	7.7
Amp Kf Te Enr Tob Cn (11)	0	0.0	1	7.7
Kf Te Enr Cip Nor Tob Cn (12)	0	0.0	1	7.7
Amp Kf Te Enr Cip Nor Tob Cn (13)	1	10.0	2	15.4

<sup>6</sup>Isolates having resistance pattern

ciprofloxacin, and other fluoroquinolones might also explain for the increasing number of resistance toward fluoroquinolones (Jacobs-Reitsma *et al.*, 1994; Hong *et al.*, 2007).

There is evidence indicating that *Campylobacter* strains are able to maintain tetracycline resistance over a longer period of time, even when the antibiotic is no longer used (Schuppers *et al.*, 2005). This could be one of the reasons for the high tetracycline resistance. Low level of resistance to aminoglycosides generally can be attributed to the rare usage of this group in the poultry industry either prophylactically or therapeutically due to its intramuscular route of administration, which may be impracticable for large-scale application (Rodrigo *et al.*, 2007).

A lot of publications have associated multidrug resistance in campylobacters with the expression of the *cmeABC* efflux system (Lin *et al.*, 2002; Fallon *et al.*, 2003; Minihan *et al.*, 2006; Olah *et al.*, 2006). The efflux pump extrudes a broad range of structurally unrelated antibiotic agents (including fluoroquinolones,  $\beta$ -lactams, tetracycline, gentamicin) out of bacterial cells, and thus contributes to the intrinsic antibiotic resistance and high levels of multiple resistance (Lin *et al.*, 2002; Poole, 2004; Olah *et al.*, 2006).

So far no differences have been reported in the antibiotic resistance profiles of veterinary *Campylobacter* spp. (even from those isolated from food animal processing environments having substantially different processing regimens) (Sánchez *et al.*, 2002). Similar findings were obtained by the present study. Minihan *et al.* (2006) found antibiotic resistance profiles of *Campylobacter* isolates to be related to the bacterial origin and not the species. Nevertheless, further work with a big sample size is advisable before a conclusion is made since *Campylobacter* species are reported to correlate with antibiotic resistance profiles to some degree (Olah *et al.*, 2006).

## Conclusion

Improved management, methods and sanitation are required to reduce the number of birds being colonized by *Campylobacter* significantly and to limit the extent of the cross-contamination among carcasses during processing. The bacterial numbers in flocks and processed carcasses should be monitored regularly. An examination of a bigger sample size is warranted in future to draw a definite conclusion on the antibiotic resistance rate of the bacteria in broiler. It is vital to use antibiotics prudently in broilers to maintain their effectiveness.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the funds provided by the Ministry of Health Malaysia.

## References

- Akinbowale, O. L, Peng, H. and Barton, M. D. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from aquaculture sources in Australia. Journal of Applied Microbiology 100 (5): 1103-1113.
- Andersen, S. R., Saadbye, P., Shukri, N. M., Rosenquist, H., Nielsen, N. L. and Boel, J. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance among *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from raw poultry meat at retail level in Denmark. International Journal of Food Microbiology 107 (3): 250-255.
- Barton, M. D. 1998. Does the use of antibiotics in animals affect human health? Australian Veterinary Journal 76 (3): 177-180.
- Borck, B. and Pedersen, K. 2005. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis types of *Campylobacter* species in Danish turkeys before and after slaughter. International Journal of Food Microbiology 101 (1): 63-72.
- Byrd, J. A., Corrier, D. E., Hume, M. E., Bailey, R. H., Stanke, L. H. and Hargis, B. M. 1998. Incidence of *Campylobacter* in crops of preharvest market-age broiler chickens. Poultry Science 77 (9): 1303-1305.
- Cardinale, E., Rose, V., Perrier Gros-Claude, J. D., Tall, F., Rivoal, K., Mead, G. and Salvat, G. 2006. Genetic characterization and antibiotic resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from poultry and humans in Senegal. Journal of Applied Microbiology 100 (1): 209-217.
- Chai, L. C., Fatimah, A. B., Ghazali, F. M., Lee, H. Y., Tunung, R., Shamsinar, A. T., Laila, R. A. S., Thahirahtul, A. Z., Malakar, P. M., Nakaguchi, Y., Nishibuchi, M. and Son, R. 2008. Biosafety of *Campylobacter jejuni* from Raw Vegetables Consumed as *Ulam* with Reference to their Resistance to Antibiotics. International Food Research Journal 15(2): 125-134.
- Christopher, F. M., Smith, G. C. and Vanderzant, C. 1982. Examination of poultry giblets, raw milk, and meat for *Campylobacter fetus* subspecies *jejuni*. Journal of Food Protection 45 (3): 260-262.
- Consumers'Association of Penang (CAP) 2002. Chicken: not any safer. In CAP (ed). What's wrong with eating meat-50 reasons why you should eat less meat, p. 34-41. Pulau Pinang: Jutaprint.

- Diergaardt, S. M., Venter, S. N., Spreeth, A., Theron, J. and Brözel, V. S. 2004. The occurrence of campylobacters in water sources in South Africa. Water Research 38 (10): 2589-2595.
- Internet: Donnison, A. 2003. Isolation of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* review and methods for New Zealand laboratories. Downloaded from http://www.moh.govt. nz/moh.nsf/pagesmh/2588?Open on 30/10/2009.
- Engberg, J., Keelan, M., Gerner-Smidt, P. and Taylor, D. E. 2006. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter*. In Aarestrup, F. M. (ed). Antimicrobial resistance in bacteria of animal origin, p. 274-277. Washington DC: ASM Press.
- Entis, P. 2002. Tube MPN methods. In Entis, P. and Entis, M. (eds). Food microbiology: the laboratory, p. 57-59. Washington DC: Food Processors Institute.
- Fallon, R., O'Sullivan, N., Maher, M. and Carroll, C. 2003. Antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates from broiler chickens isolated at an Irish poultry processing plant. Letters in Applied Microbiology 36 (5): 277-281.
- Gonzalez, I., Grant, K. A., Richardson, P. T., Park, S. F. and Collins, M. D. 1997. Specific identification of the enteropathogens *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* by using a PCR test based on the *ceuE* gene encoding a putative virulence determinant. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 35 (3): 759-763.
- Hong, J., Kim, J. M., Jung, W. K., Kim, S. H., Bae, W., Koo, H. C., Gil, J., Kim, Z. M., Ser, J. and Park, Y. H. 2007. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from chicken meat, pork, and beef in Korea, from 2001-2006. Journal of Food Protection 70 (4): 860-866.
- Jacobs-Reitsma, W. F., Kan, C. A. and Bolder, N. M. 1994. The induction of quinolone resistance in *Campylobacter* bacteria in broilers by quinolone treatment. Letters in Applied Microbiology 19 (4): 228-231.
- Keener, K. M., Bashor, M. P., Curtis, P. A., Sheldon, B. M. and Kathariou, S. 2004. Comprehensive review of *Campylobacter* and poultry processing. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 3 (2): 105-116.
- Konkel, M. E., Monteville, M. R., Rivera-Amill, V. and Joens, L. A. 2001. The pathogenesis of *Campylobacter jejuni*-mediated enteritis. Current Issues in Intestinal Microbiology 2 (2): 55-71.
- Kotula, K. L. and Pandya, Y. 1995. Bacterial contamination of broiler chickens before scalding. Journal of Food

Protection 58 (12): 1326-1329.

- Li, X-Z., Mehrotra, M., Ghimire, S. and Adewoye, L. 2007. β-lactam resistance and β-lactamases in bacteria of animal origin. Veterinary Microbiology 121 (3-4): 197-214.
- Lillard, H. S. 1988. Comparison of sampling methods and implications for bacterial decontamination of poultry carcasses by rinsing. Journal of Food Protection 51(5): 405-408.
- Lin, J., Michel, L. O. and Zhang, Q. 2002. CmeABC functions as a multidrug efflux system in *Campylobacter jejuni*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 46 (7): 2124-2131.
- Lindquist, J. 2001. Dilution theory The most probable number method. Downloaded from http://www. jlindquist.net/generalmicro/102dil3.html on 20/4/2007.
- Linton, D., Lawson, A. J., Owen, R. J. and Stanley, J. 1997. PCR detection, identification to species level, and fingerprinting of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* direct from diarrheic samples. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 35 (10): 2568-2572.
- Minihan, D., Whyte, P., O'Mahony, M., Cowley, D., O'Halloran, F., Corcoran, D., Fanning, S. and Collins, J. D. 2006. Phenotypic and genotypic anti-microbial resistance profiles of campylobacters from untreated feedlot cattle and their environment. Journal of Veterinary Medicine Series B 53 (4): 181-187.
- Mohran, Z. S, Arthur, R. R., Oyofo, B. A., Peruski, L. F., Wasfy, M. O., Ismail, T. F. and Murphy, J. R. 1998. Differentiation of *Campylobacter* isolates on the basis of sensitivity to boiling in water as measured by PCR-detectable DNA. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 64 (1): 363-365.
- Olah, P. A., Doetkott, C., Fakhr, M. K. and Logue, C. M. 2006. Prevalence of the *Campylobacter* multidrug efflux pump (CmeABC) in *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from freshly processed turkeys. Food Microbiology 23 (5): 453-460.
- Peyrat, M. B., Soumet, C., Maris, P. and Sanders, P. 2008. Phenotypes and genotypes of *Campylobacter* strains isolated after cleaning and disinfection in poultry slaughterhouses. Veterinary Microbiology 128 (3-4): 313-326.
- Poole, K. 2004. Efflux-mediated multiresistance in Gramnegative bacteria. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 10 (1): 12-26.
- Radu, S., Karim, M. I. A, Rusul, G. and Yusoff, K. 1996. Plasmids and antimicrobial resistance among

*Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from fresh retail poultry. Asia Pacific Journal of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology 4 (2): 106-111.

- Rodrigo, S., Adesiyun, A., Asgarali, Z. and Swanston, W. 2007. Antimicrobial resistance of *Campylobacter* species isolated from broilers in small poultry processing operations in Trinidad. Food Control 18 (4): 321-325.
- Saleha, A. A. 2002. Isolation and characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* from broiler chickens in Malaysia. International Journal of Poultry Science 1 (4): 94-97.
- Sallam, K. I. 2007. Prevalence of *Campylobacter* in chicken and chicken by-products retailed in Sapporo area, Hokkaido, Japan. Food Control 18 (9): 1113-1120.
- Sánchez, M. X., Fluckey, W. M., Brashears, M. M. and McKee, S. R. 2002. Microbial profile and antibiotic susceptibility of *Campylobacter* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. in broilers processed in air-chilled and immersionchilled environments. Journal of Food Protection 65 (6): 948-956.
- Scherer, K., Bartelt, E., Sommerfeld, C. and Hildebrandt, G. 2006-b. Comparison of different sampling techniques and enumeration methods for the isolation and quantification of *Campylobacter* spp. in raw retail chicken legs. International Journal of Food Microbiology 108 (1): 115-119.
- Schuppers, M. E., Stephan, R., Legergerber, U., Danuser, J., Bissig-Choisat, B., Stärk, K. D. C. and Regula, G. 2005. Clinical herd health, farm management and antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter coli* on finishing pig farms in Switzerland. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 69 (3-4): 189-202.
- Stoyanchev, T., Vashin, I., Ring, C. and Atanassova, V. 2007. Prevalence of *Campylobacter* species in poultry and poultry products for sale on the Bulgarian retail market. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 92 (3): 285-288.
- Tan, Y. F., Haresh, K. K., Chai, L. C., Ghazali, F. M. and Son, R. 2009. Prevalence of *Campylobacter* spp. in retailed ready-to-eat sushi. *International Food Research Journal* 15(3): 331-336.
- Tan, Y. F., Haresh, K. K., Chai, L. C. and Son R. 2009. Antibiotic susceptibility and genotyping by RAPD of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from retailed readyto-eat sushi. International Food Research journal 16: 31-38.
- Tang, J.Y.H., Mohamad Ghazali, F., Saleha, A.A., Nishibuchi, M. and Son, R. 2009. Comparison of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. occurrence in two

types of retail chicken samples. International Food Research Journal 16: 277-288.

- Usha, M. R., Tunung, R., Chai, L. C., Ghazali, F. M., Cheah, Y. K., Nishibuchi, M. and Son, R. 2010. A study on *Campylobacter jejuni* cross-contamination during chilled broiler preparation. International Food Research Journal 17: 107-115.
- Wallace, R. B. 2003. *Campylobacter*. In Hocking, A. D. (ed). Foodborne microorganisms of public health significance - 6th edn, p. 313-325. Marrickville: Southwood Press.
- Internet: WHO (World Health Organization) 2002. Scale of antimicrobial use outside human medicine. Downloaded from http://www.who.int/mediacentre/ factsheets/fs268/en/ on 11/7/2007.
- Whyte, P., McGill, K., Cowley, D., Madden, R. H., Moran, L., Scates, P., Carroll, C., O'Leary, A., Fanning, S., Collins, J. D., McNamara, E., Moore, J. E. and Cormican, M. 2004. Occurrence of *Campylobacter* in retail foods in Ireland. International Journal of Food Microbiology 95 (2): 111-118.
- Yan, S. S., Pendrak, M. L., Foley, S. L. and Powers, J. H. 2005. *Campylobacter* infection and Guillain-Barré syndrome: Public health concerns from a microbial food safety perspective. Clinical and Applied Immunology Reviews 5 (5): 285-305.