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Abstract

The effects of different cultivars and ripening stages of Iranian olive fruits (Olea europaea L.) on fatty acid composition, ΔECN 42 value, total phenol, chlorophyll and carotenoid contents and antioxidant activity of olive oil were evaluated. In first stage of ripening stage, for all cultivars, oleic acid was major fatty acid. The ratio of monounsaturated to polyunsaturated, unsaturated to saturated fatty acids and ΔECN 42 were affected by cultivar and ripening stage. However, in all cases, ΔECN 42 was lower than 0.2. Total phenolic, chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were significantly different (p<0.05) for all cultivars whereas these variables were decreased during fruit ripening stages.

Introduction

The olive (Olea europaea L.) tree has been cultivated all over the World, in particular, in the countries surrounding the Mediterranean Sea as well as the northern part of Iran. Climate conditions of these regions are very suitable for producing high quality olive fruit. Virgin olive oil which has been extracted from fresh and healthy fruits using cold press without any refining process is one of the oldest known valuable vegetable oils. The oil is rich in valuable, nutritional and health promoting compounds including oleic acid, polyphenols, tocopherols, chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments (Iconomou et al., 2010). Oleic acid which is located in two position of triacylglycerols reduces the penetration of fatty acids into the arterial walls resulted in decreasing coronary disease (Asık and Ozkan, 2011; Nabil et al., 2012). It was reported that phenolic compounds and natural pigments have great effect on stability, pungent taste and yellow-green color of olive oil (Boukachabine et al., 2011; Dag et al., 2011). Additionally, these compounds showed antioxidant activity in olive oil (Gallardo-Guerrero et al., 2002). Several researches have revealed that quality and quantity of these valuable compounds influenced by several factors such as climatic conditions, cultivar, fruit ripeness and oil extraction method (Baiano et al., 2009; Dabbou et al., 2011). Studies on berries and avocado have also shown that cultivar and optimal harvesting time are the most important factors determine amount of fatty acids, triacylglycerol species (TAGs) and antioxidant activity of extracted oil (Ozdemir and Topuz, 2004; Yang and Kallio, 2004).

To date, there is no investigation on effect of cultivar and ripening stage on bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity of Iranian virgin olive oil. Therefore, the objectives of current research were to determine effects of different varieties and ripening stages of Iranian olive fruit (Olea europaea L.) on fatty acid composition (FAC), TAGs, total phenolic content, chlorophyll and carotenoid contents and antioxidant activity of its virgin olive oil extracted using screw press.

Materials and Methods

Materials

Different cultivars of Iranian olive fruits (Olea europaea L.) including Green, Mari and Shenge without infection or physical damages were handpicked from all sides of identified trees in traditional Iranian orchards of Tarom at three different ripening stages from September to November, 2012. Pure standard of fatty acids mixture and TAG
were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milwaukee, USA) and Larodan Fine Chemicals AB (Limhamn, Sweden), respectively. All reagents and solvents used were analytical grade and supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Oil extraction

Pilot-scale screw press (P500R, Germany) was used to extract olive oil at temperature of 35 and 40ºC.

Fatty acid analysis

Fatty acid methyl esters prepared using 0.1 g oil, 5 mL of methanolic solution of NaOH (0.5 N) and 1 mL n-hexane in a screw cap vial. The vial was heated in boiling water bath for 10 min. The vial was then removed from boiling water and allowed to cool to near room temperature. As catalyst 2.175 mL of Boron trifluoride was added and the vial was heated in boiling water bath. After 3 min, the vial was cooled and 1 mL of saturated sodium chloride solution and 1 mL n-hexane were added. Then, the vial was shaken vigorously (Metcalf et al., 1966). The vial was allowed to stand for 5 min and then 0.2 mL of top n-hexane layer containing the methyl esters was removed and injected to the gas chromatograph (GC; Unicam 4600, UK) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a fused-silica capillary column (BPX70 30 m × 0.25 mm i.d and 0.22 mm film thickness, SGE, Melbourne, Australia). The GC split ratio was 1:10 and volume of each injection was 0.2 mL. The FID detector and injector temperatures were set at 270 and 250°C, respectively. The initial column temperature was 160°C for 6 min and then raised to 180°C at a rate of 6°C/min and held for 9 min, and then the heating rate increased to 20°C/ min until it reached to 200°C. Helium was used as carrier gas with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. Fatty acid determination was done by comparison of sample retention times with pure standard mixtures. The peak areas were calculated and the percentages of the areas were obtained.

Determination of triacylglycerol species (TAGS)

TAGS of olive oil samples were determined using reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Younglin, Acme 9000, Hogye, South Korea) equipped with a refractive index (RI) detector and a Lichrosphere RP C-18 column (25 cm × 4 mm × 4 μm) (Tecnokroma, S. Coop., Spain). The mobile phase was acetone: acetonitrile (60:40) at a flow rate of 0.6 mL/min. The column and detector temperatures were set at 35 and 40°C, respectively. 10 μL of the sample which was diluted with chloroform (5:95 v/v) was injected into the column. TAG peaks were identified using comparing the retention times with those of TAG standards (Zaringhalami et al., 2011).

Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)

Total phenols were isolated using water:methanol (60:40 v/v) from an oil-in-hexane solution (10 g/23 mL) according to the method described by Baccouri et al. (2007) with some modifications. Total phenolic content (TPC) was determined using Folin–Ciocalteu and colorimetric measurement at 725 nm. The results were expressed as mg of gallic acid (GAE) per Kg of oil.

Antioxidant activity assay

Antioxidant activity was evaluated using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) according to Koleva et al. (2002) with slight modifications. 2 mL of 0.1 mM methanolic solution of DPPH was added to 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 μL of the oil samples and then 1 mL methanol was also added. The reaction mixture was thoroughly vortexed and then kept in dark for 30 min. Ascorbic acid at different concentrations (0.625, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 (μg/mL water) was considered as control. The absorbance of the mixture was recorded at 517 nm using spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2550; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) and percentage inhibition of the DPPH’ radical was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{DPPH} (\%) = \frac{[\text{absorbance of the control} - \text{absorbance of the sample}]}{\text{absorbance of the control}} \times 100
\]

Determination of chlorophyll and carotenoid contents

Olive oil pigments (chlorophyll and carotenoid) were extracted according to Nabil et al. (2012). Briefly, 7.5 g of oil sample dissolved in cyclohexane completely and then adjust to 25 mL with same solvent. The maximum absorbance was recorded using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2550; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) at 670 and 470 nm for chlorophyll and carotenoid, respectively. The amounts of chlorophyll and carotenoid were calculated as follows:

\[
\text{Chlorophyll (mg pheophytin ‘a’ kg}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{(A_{670} \times 10^6)}{(E_0 \times 100 \times d)}
\]

\[
\text{Carotenoid (mg lutein kg}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{(A_{470} \times 10^6)}{(E_0 \times 100 \times d)}
\]

Where A is the absorbance, E_0 is coefficient of specific extinction which is 613 and 2000 for pheophytin ‘a’ and lutein, respectively and d is cell thickness (1 cm) of spectrophotometer.
The experimental design applied was Completely Randomized Design (CRD). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) at significance level of 95% and LSD pairwise comparison test was performed using Statistix 9.0 software (Tallahassee, FL, USA). All data were represented as mean value ±standard deviation (SD).

Results and Discussion

Fatty acid composition and triacylglycerol species

Major fatty acids of all samples at different ripening stages were presented in Table 1. Oleic, palmitic, linoleic and stearic acids were found to be major fatty acids in all cultivars during ripening stages. The content of oleic acid as the most important fatty acid in olive oil varies among different cultivars during ripening stages. The highest amount of oleic acid in the first stage of ripening was found for Green cultivar followed by Shenge and Mari cultivars. Among all of the oil samples oleic acid content increased only in Shenge cultivar during ripening stages. Linoleic acid content of all samples decreased at the end of ripening stage. An inverse relationship was found between the amounts of oleic and linoleic acids in all cultivars. Geege et al. (2007) and Zaringhalami et al. (2011) also reported that the lowest content of oleic acid was found in the highest content of linoleic acid in safflower and tea seed oils, respectively. The results revealed that the content of palmitic acid as another important fatty acid in olive oil was decreased at the end of the ripening stage. All of the findings on variation of major fatty acid contents for all of cultivars during ripening stages are in agreement with previous studies (Cossignani et al., 2001; Esmaeili et al., 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ripening stage</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Fatty acids</th>
<th>(C16:0)</th>
<th>(C18:0)</th>
<th>(C18:1)</th>
<th>(C18:2)</th>
<th>(C18:3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Mari</td>
<td>13.2±0.06a/B</td>
<td>2.8±0.06a/B</td>
<td>73±0.02b/B</td>
<td>7.1±0.09a/B</td>
<td>0.5±0.04b/B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>Shenge</td>
<td>16.3±0.03a/A</td>
<td>2.5±0.04e/C</td>
<td>68.3±0.03c/C</td>
<td>9.1±0.03a/A</td>
<td>0.7±0.05b/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Mari</td>
<td>12.6±0.04b/C</td>
<td>3.1±0.01a/A</td>
<td>74.5±0.04a/A</td>
<td>6.6±0.02b/C</td>
<td>0.5±0.06b/B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>Shenge</td>
<td>14.2±0.02b/A</td>
<td>2.9±0.03b/A</td>
<td>69.8±0.02b/A</td>
<td>9.0±0.07a/A</td>
<td>0.7±0.03b/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Mari</td>
<td>11.8±0.05c/C</td>
<td>3.1±0.01a/A</td>
<td>69.5±0.06c/C</td>
<td>6.8±0.06b/B</td>
<td>0.8±0.04a/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>Shenge</td>
<td>13.0±0.07c/A</td>
<td>3.3±0.02a/A</td>
<td>70.6±0.03b/A</td>
<td>8.8±0.06b/A</td>
<td>1.0±0.08a/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value in the table represents the mean±standard deviation of triplicate analysis. Different uppercase and lowercase indicate significant statistical differences (p<0.05) between and within cultivars during ripening stages, respectively, by LSD test. C16:0 palmitic acid; C18:0 stearic acid; C18:1 oleic acid; C18:2 linoleic acid; C18:3 linolenic acid.

ΔECN 42 values were affected by cultivar and ripening stage. This finding is in accordance with Aranda et al. (2004) and Matthaus and Ozcan (2011). They pointed out that variation of ΔECN 42 values...
were lower than 0.2 and depended on cultivar, climate conditions and stage of fruit ripening. According to Table 2, ΔECN 42 values were lower than 0.2 and affected by cultivars and ripening stage. This finding is accordance with Aranda et al. (2004) and Matthaus and Ozcan (2011). They pointed out that variation of ΔECN 42 values depended on cultivar, climate conditions and stage of fruit ripening.

**Total phenolic content**

Phenolic compounds are strong antioxidants which protect biological system and edible oils from oxidation damages as well as produce the flavor characteristics of virgin olive oil (Gomez-Alonso et al., 2003; Carrasco-Pancorbo et al., 2005; Soni et al., 2006; Matos et al., 2007; Gomez-Rico et al., 2008; Dabbou et al., 2011; Nabil et al., 2012). Several studies revealed that TPC of olive oils varies widely depending on variety, maturity of the fruit, climate conditions and oil extraction method (Aguilera et al., 2005; Shibasaki, 2005; Ceci and Carelli, 2007; Baccouri et al., 2008; Diraman and Dibeklioğlu, 2009; Dabbou et al., 2011). In the current research, utilization of extraction method resulted in high quality olive oil with respect to high amount of valuable compounds. The TPC of all experimented cultivars during ripening stage were depicted in Fig. 1. TPC of the oil samples varied between 0.35±0.08 to 2.07±0.08 mg GAE Kg⁻¹ oil. The results confirmed TPC of the oil samples was closely related to cultivar and maturity of the fruit. The highest TPC was detected in Shenge followed by Mari and Green at the first stage of fruit ripening, respectively. These results were in accordance with several researchers reported that TPC of oil obtained from all cultivar of olive fruits decreased during ripening stage (Aranda et al., 2004; Shibasaki, 2005; Baccouri et al., 2008; Diraman and Dibeklioğlu, 2009; Dabbou et al., 2011; Dag et al., 2011; Matthaus and Ozcan, 2011).

**Chlorophyll and carotenoids contents**

Chlorophyll and carotenoids which are the most important pigments of olive oil also showed antioxidant activity (Cavalli et al., 2003; Matos et al., 2007; Dabbou et al., 2011; Dag et al., 2011). Therefore, these pigments could have an important effect on quality and oxidation stability of olive oil. Numerous studies have shown that the amount of these valuable pigments varied widely depending on fruit cultivar, degree of ripeness and oil extraction method (Rotondi et al., 2004; Aguilera et al., 2005; Desouky et al., 2009; Diraman and Dibeklioğlu, 2011).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ripening stage</th>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Σ MUFA / Σ PUF</th>
<th>Σ UFA/ Σ SFA</th>
<th>ΔECN42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td><em>Mari</em></td>
<td>17.6±0.03a/A</td>
<td>6.8±0.03a/A</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Shenge</em></td>
<td>11.7±0.04a/B</td>
<td>6.5±0.06a/B</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td><em>Mari</em></td>
<td>9.7±0.09b/B</td>
<td>5.0±0.05b/A</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Shenge</em></td>
<td>10.5±0.09a/A</td>
<td>5.2±0.02a/A</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td><em>Mari</em></td>
<td>7.2±0.04c/A</td>
<td>4.6±0.01b/B</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Shenge</em></td>
<td>7.2±0.03c/A</td>
<td>4.9±0.04c/A</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Monounsaturated to polyunsaturated and unsaturated to saturated fatty acid ratios and ΔECN42 in the oils extracted from three different cultivars of Iranian olive fruit during ripening stage.

Each value in the table represents the mean±standard deviation of triplicate analysis. Different uppercase and lowercase indicate significant statistical differences (p<0.05) between and within varieties during maturity period, respectively, by LSD test. MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids, PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids, UFA: unsaturated fatty acids, SFA: saturated fatty acids.
The effects of key parameters including cultivar and ripening stage on chlorophyll and carotenoids contents were evaluated and the results are presented in Table 3. According to Table 3, the oil of Green and Shenge cultivars showed the maximum content of chlorophyll and carotenoid pigments, respectively. The chlorophyll and carotenoid contents decreased throughout ripening stage and a significant difference \( (p<0.05) \) was observed. The results also showed that the disappearance of chlorophyll slightly greater than carotenoids.

Antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity depends on amounts of bioactive compounds such as total phenols and pigments. Therefore, antioxidant activity varies due to amount of these compounds during ripening stage. As shown in Table 4, the highest antioxidant activity was measured for oil of Shenge followed by Mari and Green cultivars at the first of the ripening stage. As mentioned, it could be due to the positive correlation between amount of polyphenols, pigments content and antioxidant activity (Asik and Ozkan, 2011; Boukachabine et al., 2011). Furthermore, antioxidant activity decreased significantly \( (p<0.05) \) during fruits ripening. Similar results were reported by Aguilera et al., 2005, Baccouri et al., 2008 Boukachabine et al., 2011; Dabbou et al., 2011.

Conclusions

In the current research, several characteristics of Iranian virgin olive oil such as FAC, TAGs, TPC, chlorophyll and carotenoid contents and antioxidant activity were assessed during fruit ripening stages with respect to types of cultivar. It was revealed that in first stage of ripening stage (September), for all cultivars, oleic acid was major fatty acid. On the other hand, TPC, chlorophyll and carotenoids contents were significantly different \( (p<0.05) \) for all cultivars whereas these variables were decreased during fruit ripening stages. The data are important in providing information on some characteristics of olive oil to decide the proper harvesting time. From nutritional and industrial point of view, the oil obtained from any selected cultivar which harvested in September for the high amounts of unsaturated fatty acids and valuable compounds as antioxidant is useful to prevent many diseases and oil oxidation damages.
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