

Suitability of wheat flour blends with malted and fermented cowpea flour for noodle making

*Ritika, B.Y., Baljeet, S.Y., Mahima, S. and Roshanlal, Y.

Department of Food Technology, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak (Haryana)-124001, India

Article history

Abstract

Received: 2 July 2015 Received in revised form: 29 January 2016 Accepted: 20 February 2016

Keywords

Cowpea Composite flour Noodles Textural quality Cooking quality The current trend is to develop composite flours based food products with enhanced nutritional as well as other superior quality traits adding variety to the food basket. Composite flour noodles were developed using blends of refined wheat flour with malted and fermented cowpea flour with replacement level of 10 and 20%. The native flours and their blends were analyzed for physicochemical, functional and pasting properties to assess their suitability for noodle making. The results of the proximate composition showed that malting and fermentation enhanced the protein content of the cowpea flour. Increasing the level of malted or fermented cowpea flour in the blend resulted in the increased protein content and water absorption capacity. The pasting properties of the refined wheat flour also got altered after supplementation with cowpea flour. The noodles were analyzed for cooking properties including cooking time, cooking loss and cooked weight. The textural attributes of the cooked noodles were determined using food texture analyzer. Composite flour noodles revealed less cooking time, less percent solid loss, low hardness, adhesiveness and cohesiveness but increased cooking yield. Presence of ingredients other than wheat flour could have caused discontinuity in the gluten network resulting in the faster moisture penetration and hence less optimum cooking time of noodles. Noodles with improved nutritional and acceptable cooking and textural quality attributes can be successfully developed using composite flours based upon refined wheat flour and malted cow pea flours.

© All Rights Reserved

Introduction

Noodles are considered as important food throughout the world, especially in Asian countries such as China, Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand (Akanbi et al., 2011). The popularity of noodles, particularly in Asian countries is increasing because of their simple preparation, desirable sensory attributes, long shelf life augmented with product diversity and nutritive value. As the world market is expanding, studies for the development and improvement of noodles qualities satisfying the consumer demands are of immense importance. Wheat flour is the main ingredient used in manufacturing of noodles and therefore, characteristics of wheat flour are important for noodle making. In recent years, the demand to use novel sources as substitute for wheat flour has increased and therefore, composite flours are considered advantageous in developing countries as it reduces the import of wheat flour and encourages the use of flours prepared from locally grown crops (Hasmadi et al., 2014). Therefore, flours from alternative sources are being used as potential wheat flour substitutes for noodle making also adding variety and functionality to the product.

Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata), commonly known as black-eyed pea, is an important legume of tropical and subtropical areas. It is rich in protein and essential amino acids especially lysine. Unlike other legumes such as soybeans and groundnuts, which are oil-protein seeds, cowpeas are starch-protein seeds offering a wider pattern of utilization than any other legumes. The high lysine content makes cowpea an excellent enhancer of protein quality and when blended with cereals, produces mixtures with complementary amino acid profiles improving the nutritional quality of the products (Fu et al., 1996; Mensa-Wilmot et al., 2001). Cowpea flour, which can simply be hydrated to make paste, offers a convenient alternative to other cereals flours like wheat, rice and maize etc up to a certain extent.

Despite its high protein content, cowpea has some limiting amino acids and some antinutritional factors like trypsin and chymotrypsin inhibitors responsible for reducing the digestibility of protein by inhibiting protease activity. Cowpea contains polyphenolic compounds, tannins, lectins, phytic acid and indigestible oligosaccharides. Among the oligosaccharides raffinose, stachyose, and verbascose are not utilized by monogastric animals including humans, who lack the specific α -galactosidase enzyme needed to digest them. So, the use of cowpea as a food source has not been utilized to its full potential. Wet processing including soaking, germination and fermentation leads to a reduction in phytic acid and thus improves bioavailability of minerals in cereals and legumes (Afify et al., 2011). Studies have shown that processes like germination (Sathe et al., 1983) and fermentation (Zamora and Fields, 1979) of cowpea do not only improve its digestibility, nutritional quality, flavor and functional properties but also reduce the various antinutritional factors. Germination has been shown to decrease the antnutritional factors like trypsin and chymotrypsin inhibitors and haemagglutinins (Fernandez and Berry, 1998; Uwaegbute et al., 2000). Malting has been reported to be one of the most effective and convenient ways for improvement of nutritional value of cereals and legumes (Gernah et al., 2011). Malting not only enhances the nutritional profile of the cereals or legumes, but it also induces activation of enzyme systems like amylases. Addition of amylase preparation from barley malt has been observed to produce softer texture in the raw as well as cooked samples of noodles (Cato et al., 2006). Fermentation of cereals and legumes improves amino acid composition, vitamins and protein contents besides lowering the levels of antinutrients such as trypsin inhibitor (Chavan et al., 1989). The maximum nutritional benefits can be achieved by complementing cereals with cowpea in the ratio of 45:15 (wt/wt), which yields amino acid scores closer to the FAO/WHO/UNU standard (Prinyawiwatkul et al., 1996). However, non-glutenous protein adjuncts when used at relatively high level induce changes in the water absorption, mixing tolerance and other physical properties of dough.

Many studies have been performed on the development of composite flour noodles with the incorporation of garbonzo bean flour (Lee et al., 1998), defatted peanut flour (Chompreeda et al., 1987), soy flour (Singh et al., 1989; Lateef et al., 2004), pumpkin powder (Lee et al., 2002), raw and cooked pea flour or pea protein concentrate (Nielsen et al., 1980), sweet potato flour (Collins and Pangloli, 1997; Reungmaneepaitoon, 2009; Yadav et al., 2014), defatted wheat germ (Ge et al., 2001), rye flour (Kruger et al., 1998), millets flour (Devraju et al., 2008; Vijaykumar et al., 2010) and water chestnut flour (Yadav et al., 2014). Noodles prepared from 70% wheat flour and 30% malted ragi flour combination had higher values of protein, fiber and minerals (Kulkarni et al., 2012). Husniati and Anastasia (2013) reported the improved quality of cooked noodles prepared from wheat and fermented cassava flours with a ratio of 80:20. Since, the literature does not witness the application of malted and fermented cowpea flour for noodle making, the present study was undertaken to supplement the refined wheat flour with malted and fermented cowpea flour to improve its nutritional quality, and to study the effect of supplementation on the cooking and textural properties of noodles.

Materials and Methods

Procurement of raw material

Cowpea seeds, refined wheat flour and salt were purchased from local market of Rohtak (Haryana). Cowpea flour was prepared in the laboratory flour mill (Milcent, India). All chemicals and reagents used in the study were of analytical grade.

Malting of cowpea seeds

For malting, all seeds were pretreated with 200 ppm of 5.25% sodium hypochlorite to control microbial growth. Seeds were germinated at 30°C for 24 h, the time needed to reduce flatulence-producing oligosaccharides and minimize rootlet development. Sprouted seeds were dried in hot air oven at 50°C for 12-20 h to reduce the moisture content up to 10% (wb). Seed coats were separated from sprouted cowpea seeds manually by gently rubbing the seeds between palms and fingers and then removing away by a fan. Dried malted seeds were stored at 4°C until ground to flour for further applications.

Fermented cowpea flour preparation

Fermented cowpea flour was produced by subjecting the cowpea seeds to natural lactic acid fermentation as described by Hallen *et al.* (2004). Cowpea seeds were washed, dried and ground in a laboratory flour mill (Milcent, India) and passed through a 1 mm mesh screen. The flour was then mixed with water (1:4, w/w) slurry was left to ferment in an incubator at 25°C for four days until the pH of the slurry reached to 5.5. The fermented slurry was dried at 50°C and ground to get fermented cowpea flour.

Physico-chemical and functional properties of flours

Refined wheat flour (RWF), raw cowpea flour (RCF), malted cowpea flour (MCF) and fermented cowpea flour were evaluated for their proximate composition i.e. moisture, protein, ash, fat and fiber using standard methods (AOAC, 1995). Water and oil absorption capacities were determined using method of Sosulski *et al.* (1976). Water or oil absorption

capacity was determined as water or oil absorbed by the flour sample and the results were expressed as g/g of sample. The bulk density was determined according to the method as described by Okaka and Potter (1977). The bulk density was calculated as weight per unit volume of sample. The least gelation concentration was determined using method of Coffman and Garcia (1977) with some modifications. The flour dispersions with concentrations of 2 to 30% with an interval of 2% were prepared and the least gelation concentration was determined as that concentration when the sample from inverted tube did not slip. The method of Okaka and Potter (1977) with some modifications was used for determining the swelling capacity. Water solubility index was determined from the amount of dried solids obtained after drying the supernatant and was expressed as g/g of sample. The swelling power and solubility were determined using the standard formulations and the results were expressed as g/g of dry flour.

Pasting properties

A rapid visco-analyzer (RVA) (Tech Master, Perten, Australia) was used to study the pasting properties of flours. 3.0 g flour sample (14% mb) was mixed with distilled water to make a total weight of 28 g in RVA sample canister. A programmed heating and cooling cycle was used at constant shear rate, where the sample was held at 50°C for 1 min, heated to 95°C in 3.42 min, held at 95°C for 2.70 min, cooled to 50°C in 5.88 min. Peak viscosity (PV), time to reach PV (Ptime), temperature at PV (Ptemp.), hot paste viscosity (HPV), and cool paste viscosity or the viscosity at the end of the hold time at 50°C (CPV) were recorded.

Preparation of composite flour noodles

Composite flour noodles were prepared using blends of refined wheat flour with malted cowpea and fermented cowpea flours at replacement levels of 10 and 20%. Refined wheat flour noodles were considered as control. The standardized formulation for the noodles had the ingredients as 200 g flour, 3 g salt and 75 ml water. The dough was mixed for 5 min in the dough making section of the noodle making machine (La Monferrina, Italy). The dough was sheeted with noodle press by maintaining a gap of 2.5 mm. The dough sheet was folded and rolled three times each through successively decreasing roller gaps of 2.04 mm, 1.65 mm, and 1.10 mm. Finally, dough sheet was cut into 2.0 mm wide noodles with a roller cuter, air dried at room temperature (27°C) for 12 h and then stored at room temperature in polythene bags for further use.

Cooking characteristics of the noodles

For determining cooking time, noodles (10 g) were cooked in 200 ml of boiling distilled water taken in a 250 ml beaker until disappearance of white core as judged by pressing between two glass slides. The cooked weight of noodles was determined as described by Galvez and Resurreccion (1992) with Noodles (10 g) were first minor modifications. soaked in 300 ml water for 5 min and then cooked in water bath for 5 min. The beaker was covered with aluminum foil to minimize the loss of water due to evaporation. The cooked noodles were drained for about 2 min, rinsed with distilled water in a buchner funnel and cooked weight was determined by weighing wet mass of noodles and the percent cooking yield was determined. For determining cooking loss, the cooked noodles were drained and rinsed with distilled water (50 ml) in a buchner funnel. The gruel solid loss was determined by evaporating to dryness the cooking and rinse water in a pre-weighed petriplate in an oven at 110°C for about 12 h (Galvez and Resurreccion, 1992). The residue was weighed after cooling in a desiccator to determine gruel solid loss.

Textural properties of cooked noodles

The cooked noodles were evaluated for their textural properties within 5 min after cooking using a TA-XT2 Texture analyzer (Stable Micro systems, Haslemeres,UK). Five strands of cooked noodles were placed parallel on a flat metal plate and compressed crosswise twice to 70% of their original height using a 35 mm probe at a speed of 1 mm/s. From force time curves of the TPA, hardness, springiness, cohesiveness, and adhesiveness were determined according to the description of Park and Baik (2004).

Sensory analysis of cooked noodles

The sensory evaluation of cooked noodles was carried out in order to get consumer response for overall acceptability of the noodles. Freshly cooked noodles were evaluated by a panel of 10 semi-trained judges for different sensory attributes including slipperiness, tooth packing (stickiness), firmness, appearance and total acceptability. The members were not professional sensory analysts but they were made acquainted with sensory parameters to be used for sensory analysis. The members were asked not to eat spicy and pungent foods before the analysis. Sensory evaluation of the cooked starch noodles was performed on a nine point hedonic scale (1 =very undesirable; 2 = undesirable; 3 = moderately undesirable; 4 = slightly undesirable; 5 = neither undesirable nor undesirable; 6 = slightly desirable;

Table 1. Proximate composition of flour samples

Flour sample	Moisture (%)	Protein (%)	Ash (%)	Fat (%)	Fiber (%)	Carbohydrate
RWF	12.13±0.23	13.0±0.21	0.90±0.13	1.78±0.23	0.62±0.03	84.6
RCP	9.50±0.05	24.13±0.42	2.94±0.06	2.31±0.09	0.97±0.01	72.6
MCF	4.21±0.08	26.0±1.02	2.48±0.53	0.97±0.15	0.54±0.06	72.5
FCF	3.35±0.13	28.00±0.63	2.30±0.23	0.99±0.33	0.53±0.00	70.5

Where, RWF = Refined wheat flour, RCP = Raw cowpea flour, MCF = malted cowpea flour, FCF = Fermented cowpea flour

The values are mean± S.D. of three independent determinations

7 = moderately desirable; 8 = desirable; 9 = very desirable).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed statistically in a completely randomized design (CRD) using one factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the help of OPSTAT version OPSTAT1.exe. (Hisar, India).

Results and Discussion

Proximate composition of flours

The chemical composition of flours prepared from refined wheat, raw cowpea, malted cowpea and fermented cowpea is as shown in Table 1. As evident from the results, the protein content of cowpea was almost double when compared to wheat. The malting and fermentation had a slightly increasing effect on the protein content of cowpea flour. A similar increase in the protein content of germinated/malted cereals and legumes has been reported by the earlier researchers also (Kulkarni et al., 2012; Kavitha and Parimalavalli, 2014). This increase in crude protein content during malting could be because of synthesis of enzyme proteins or a compositional change following the degradation of other constituents during germination (Bau et al., 1997). Ash content of cowpea was found to be significantly much higher than wheat (p < 0.05). Ash content is considered as an important factor in the assessment of minerals present in flours. The fiber content of raw cowpea flour was significantly higher than refined wheat flour and it decreased to considerable extents in malted and fermented cowpea flours. Changes in fiber content may be attributed to the fact that part of the seed fiber may be solubilized enzymatically during seed germination (El Maki et al., 1999). Alemu (2009) observed that sorghum crude fiber decreased after fermentation. The carbohydrate content as determined by difference method was the highest in RCF whereas the carbohydrate content of raw and processed cowpea flours was reasonably similar.

Functional properties of flour blends

The functional properties of refined wheat flour and its blends with MCF and FCF are as shown in Table 2. The water absorption capacity (WAC) of different blends differed significantly (P<0.05) and it ranged from 1.45 to 2.45 g/g. The highest WAC was reported in blend containing 20% fermented flour and lowest was observed in refined wheat flour. WAC represents the ability of a product to associate with water under condition where water is limited (Singh, 2001). Protein has both hydrophilic and hydrophobic properties and can interact with water in foods. Low water absorption capacity is related to low polar amino acids in flour (Harijono et al., 2013). Therefore, the higher WAC of flour blends could be attributed to their higher protein content in comparison to wheat flour. The difference in WAC might also be due to the quantity of damaged and undamaged starch present with in flour. Water absorption capacity is important in the development of ready to eat foods and a high water absorption capacity may assure product cohesiveness (Ogunlakin et al., 2012). The values for oil absorption capacity (OAC) were in the order C > B > D > E > A and it ranged from 1.63 to 2.45 g/g. The OAC of all the flour blends was higher than refined wheat flour. The high OAC could suggest the presence of hydrophobic groups on the surface of protein molecules (Subagio, 2006). The OAC of flour helps to improve mouth feel and flavor retention (Kinsella, 1976). Higher oil absorption capacity of flour blends increases the palatability of the noodles. The bulk density of different flours varied from 0.75 to 0.78 g/ml, highest bulk density was observed in blend prepared with 20% fermented cowpea flour and lowest was observed in blend prepared in 10% malted

Treatment	WAC	OAC (g/g)	BD (g/g)	LGC	Solubility	SC (ml)
	(g/g)			(%)	(g/g)	
А	1.45±0.23	1.63±0.02	0.77±0.01	24	0.06±0.00	16.9±1.2
В	2.27±0.04	2.43±0.03	0.75±0.01	20	0.10±0.01	16.0±0.8
С	2.41±0.08	2.45±0.06	0.77±0.01	20	0.16±0.01	16.4±0.9
D	2.28±0.04	2.37±0.01	0.77±0.07	20	0.07±0.00	17.7±1.1
E	2.45±0.03	2.32±0.03	0.78±0.04	20	0.10±0.03	18.3±0.8
CD	0.36	0.11	N.S.	-	N.S.	0.02

Table 2. Functional properties of different flour samples

The values except LGC are mean \pm SD of three independent determinations (p < 0.05).

Where, A = RWF, B = 10% MCF + 90% RWF, C = 20% MCF + 80% RWF, D = 10% FCF + 90% RWF, E = 20% FCF + 80% RWF.

WAC = Water absorption capacity, OAC = Oil absorption capacity, BD = Bulk density, LGC = Least gelation capacity, SC = Swelling capacity.

cowpea flour. Significantly higher bulk density was reported for the fermented Artocarpus altilis pulp flour when compared to its unfermented counterpart (Appiah et al., 2011). A hollow and puffy sel roti product with low bulk density was obtained from the flour having finer particle size. The flour comprising of coarse particles produced dense product with very less or no puffiness (Subba and Katawal, 2013). Thus bulk density of flours could affect the compactness/texture of the noodles. The degree of coarseness of the flour particles can be measured by its bulk density. Bulk density has been found to be a function of flour wettability (Solsuki, 1962). Bulk density is an indication of the porosity of a product which influences packages design and could be used to determine the type of packaging material required (Akubor and Obiegbuna, 1999). It is also important in infant feeding where less bulk density is desirable (Iwe and Onalope, 2001).

Swelling capacity determined the extent to which a flour sample increased in volume over the initial volume when soaked in water. The swelling capacity (SC) of different flours ranged from 16.9 to 18 ml being highest for the blend containing 20% fermented cowpea flour. The solubility index ranged from 6 to 10% and the highest solubility index was observed in blend containing 20% fermented cowpea flour and lowest was observed in refined wheat flour. Swelling power is an indication of the water absorption index of granules during heating (Loos *et al.*, 1981). The variation in the swelling power indicates the degree of exposure of the internal structure of the starch present in the flour to the action of water (Kafilat, 2010). In case of starch noodles, the restricted swelling behavior of starches for noodle making is a desirable trait as restricted swelling stabilizes starch against shearing action during cooking in water (Galvez & Resurreccion, 1992). The least gelation concentration (LGC) indicated the index of gelation capacity. The LGC of all the flour blends was same and it was lower than refined wheat flour. The variation in the gelling properties of flours was attributed to the relative ratio of protein, carbohydrates and lipids that make up the flours and interaction between such components (Sathe *et al.*, 1982).

Pasting properties of flours

The pasting characteristics of refined wheat flour and its blends with malted and fermented cowpea flours are given in Table 3. Peak viscosity (PV) and hot paste viscosity (HPV) of different flour sample varied from 107 to 190 RVU and 73.8 to 117.3 RVU respectively. The PV and HPV of all the blends were observed to be lower than the respective values for refined wheat flour with minimum value in case of blend containing 20% fermented cowpea flour. Incorporation of malted and fermented cowpea flours in to refined wheat flour decreased the peak viscosity of flour blends as also reported by Collado and Corke (1996). Peak viscosity is an indicator of ease with which the starch granules are disintegrated and often correlated with final product quality. HPV was observed to be highest for refined wheat flour whereas blend containing 20% fermented cowpea flour exhibited the lowest HPV. High HPV generally represents low cooking loss and superior

Flour	Peak	Hot paste	Breakdown	Cold	Setback	Peak	Pasting
sample	viscosity	viscosity	(RVU)	paste	(RVU)	time	Temp.
	(RVU)	(RVU)		viscosity		(min)	(°C)
				(RVU)			
А	190.8	117.3	73.5	266.08	148.75	5.53	81.4
В	181.3	111.2	70.08	268.00	156.75	5.53	83.1
С	189.5	116.1	73.33	266.25	150.08	5.40	80.7
D	152.5	103.4	51.41	249.00	147.83	5.66	87.3
E	107.8	73.8	34.00	183.83	110.00	5.33	87.2

Table 3. Pasting properties of different flour samples

Where, A= RWF, B = 10% MCF + 90% RWF, C = 20% MCF + 80% RWF, D = 10% FCF + 90% RWF, E = 20% FCF + 80% RWF

eating qualities in noodles (Yadav et al., 2011). The breakdown which is a measure of structural disintegration of starch during cooking was observed to be highest (73.5 RVU) in refined wheat flour and lowest (34 RVU) in blend containing 20% fermented cowpea flour. According to Higley et al. (2003), higher breakdown levels could be associated with the greater degree of collapse of swollen starch granules (low viscosity), corresponding to a greater release of solubilized starch with re-association capability during the cooling phase. The difference in breakdown is related to difference in the rigidity of swollen granules. Setback, which is a measure of retrogradation tendency of the starch, was observed to be highest for blend containing 10% malted cowpea flour and lowest was observed for blend containing 20% fermented cowpea flour. Decreased pasting qualities of germinated flours from barley (Hansen et al., 1989), wheat (Gopaldas et al., 1988), sorghum (Mosha and Svanberg 1983), finger millet, and green gram (Brandtzaeg et al., 1981) were reported. The effects of germination on the functional properties of these flours were attributed to amylolytic and proteolytic enzyme activity. It has also been suggested that type C viscoamylograph characterized by the absence of peak and viscosity remaining constant or increasing during continued heating is ideal for good quality noodles (Lii and Chang, 1981).

Cooking and textural quality characteristics of noodles

Noodles quality could be estimated from cooking attributes such as cooking time, cooking yield and cooking loss. The cooking and textural quality characteristics of noodles are presented in Table 4. The cooking time of the noodles prepared from various blends was observed to be lower than that of control sample. A good quality noodle should have short cooking time with little loss of solids in the cooking water. The noodles prepared from refined wheat flour had highest cooking time (6.66 min) whereas the noodles prepared from 20% fermented flour showed the lowest cooking time (3.66 min). Ingredients other than wheat flour such as fermented cowpea flour causes discontinuity in gluten network (Manthey et al., 2004; Izydorczyk et al., 2004) resulting in faster moisture penetration and therefore leading to lower optimum cooking time. Difference in cooking time of noodles can be attributed to the difference in the gelatinization temperature of respective flours. The cooking loss is the amount of dry matter leached in to the cooking water of optimally cooked noodles. The cooking loss ranged from 0.90 to 1.53% being the highest for noodles prepared with supplementation of 20% fermented cowpea flour whereas it was the lowest for noodles prepared with 10% malted cowpea flour. An increase in the cooking loss with the noodle containing malted and fermented cowpea flour might have been due to weakening of the protein network by the presence of malted and fermented flour. Cooking loss is an indicator of noodles' resistance to cooking (Nagao, 1996), so low levels are preferable. High cooking loss is undesirable because it represents high solubility of starch, resulting in turbid cooking water, low cooking tolerance and sticky mouthfeel (Jin et al., 1994). However, the cooking yield of all the noodles prepared from various blends was observed to be higher than of control sample and highest cooking yield was observed in noodles prepared from 20% fermented cowpea flour. The high cooking yield could be attributed to the higher water absorption capacity of the respective flour blends.

The main characteristics that determine the consumer acceptance of cooked noodles are based on

Treatment	Cooking time	Cooking yield (%)	Cooking loss (%)	Hardness(g)	A dhesiveness (g.sec)	Cohesiveness	Gumminess	Resilience
	(min)							
А	6.6±0.3	120.7	1.32±0.04	268.69±18.66	-522.83±112.52	0.39±0.01	105.72±11.12	0.69±0.01
В	6.0±0.0	135.2	0.93±0.07	265.48±18.04	-147.77±43.91	0.39±0.06	106.70±20.08	0.47±0.03
С	6.0±0.0	142.5	1.05±0.04	209.79±14.88	-291.85±31.09	0.23±0.04	53.96±22.01	0.65±0.07
D	6.0±0.0	154.1	1.62±0.13	255.65±27.99	-356.69±23.04	0.38±0.01	98.64±12.94	0.75±0.03
Е	5.6±0.3	160.3	1.53±0.22	101.80±16.25	-77.89±13.81	0.44±0.02	45.33±9.01	0.55±0.07

Table 4. Cooking quality characteristics and textural properties of different types of noodles

Where, A= RWF noodle, B = 10% MCF + 90% RWF noodle, C = 20% MCF + 80% RWF noodle, D = 10% FCF + 90% RWF noodle, E = 20% FCF + 80% RWF noodle

The values are mean ± S.D of three independent determinations

Noodle	Slinneriness	Firmness	Tooth packing	Appearance	Total acceptability
sample	Supportionss	1	(stickiness)	Tippoularie	
А	6.0±1.5	7.3±1.6	6.4±0.7	7.2±0.8	7.5±1.3
В	6.5±1.5	6.8±1.4	6.1±0.6	6.8±0.2	7.2±1.4
С	6.8±0.6	6.0±1.2	5.3±1.5	6.0±0.7	6.8±1.6
D	7.0±1.8	6.2±1.3	5.8±0.5	7.0±1.0	7.0±1.3
E	7.0±2.0	5.8±1.2	5.0±0.7	6.8±0.5	7.0±1.1

Table 5. Sensory quality characteristics of cooked noodles

The values are mean ± S.D of 10 independent determinations

Where, A= RWF noodle, B = 10% MCF + 90% RWF noodle, C = 20% MCF + 80% RWF noodle, D = 10%

FCF + 90% RWF noodle, E = 20% FCF + 80% RWF noodle

the evolution of texture and mouth feel. The textural properties of cooked noodles in the present study were determined using the compression method and results pertaining to textural properties are presented in Table 4. The maximum force required during the first cycle of compression was hardness. The hardness of all the noodles prepared from various blends was observed to be lower that of control noodles and the harness of the blend noodles decreased in the order of B > D > C > E. The decrease in hardness values was attributed mainly to the structural degradation of starch and protein during malting and fermentation processed (Chung et al., 2012). The replacement of gluten containing wheat flour with non-gluten flours could also be responsible for decreased hardness of the noodles. The adhesiveness was the maximum negative force observed during first compression cycle and the noodles prepared from refined wheat flour (-522.83 g.sec) revealed maximum adhesiveness

and minimum adhesiveness value was observed in noodles prepared from 20% fermented cowpea flour (-77.89 g.sec). The cohesiveness is the ratio of positive area during the second cycle of compression to that of the first cycle. It determines the behavior of intermolecular attraction within the product. Thus, it is a measure of the extent to which noodle structure was disrupted during first compression (Yadav et al., 2011). Maximum cohesiveness (0.44) was observed in noodles prepared with supplementation of 20% fermented cowpea flour while the minimum cohesiveness (0.23) was observed in noodles prepared from 20% malted cowpea flour. Resilience defines the elastic recovery of the sample from deformation. Minimum resilience (0.47) was observed in noodles prepared from 10% malted cowpea flour and maximum (0.75) was observed in noodles prepared from 10% fermented cowpea flour.

Sensory evaluation of cooked noodles

Noodle prepared from flour blends were evaluated for the slipperiness, firmness, tooth packing (stickiness), appearance and overall acceptability by sensory evaluation (Table 5). Slipperiness may be defined as the extent to which the product slides across the tongue. All types of flour blend noodles scored higher for slipperiness when compared to the control sample. Firmness is the amount of force required to bite through the flour noodle strands. The cooked noodles should be neither too firm nor too soft. The noodles prepared from flour blends scored lower values for firmness in comparison to control sample with noodles prepared with supplementation of 10% malted cowpea flour showing the highest firmness. Higher value of firmness in RWF noodles was due to highest gluten content of RWF as gluten has been reported to be responsible for the firmness of noodles (Chompreeda et al., 1987). Tooth packing was defined as the amount of starch noodle left on the teeth after masticating one strand of noodle. Thus a low score is desirable for tooth packing. All flour blend noodles scored lower for tooth packing in comparison to RWF noodles. The appearance of RWF noodles was most desirable, whereas in case of flour blends, noodles prepared with 10% fermented flour scored highest for the appearance. Among blends, the noodles prepared with 10% malted flour showed the highest overall acceptability.

Conclusion

Substitution of refined wheat flour with fermented cowpea or malted cowpea flours altered the functional and pasting properties of the flour blends. For the noodle prepared with various types of composite flours, cooking time was lower whereas cooking yield was higher than that of control sample. The noodles prepared with supplementation of malted cowpea flour revealed lower cooking loss in comparison to control sample. These finding suggested that supplementation of refined wheat flour with fermented and malted cowpea flours up to an extent of 20% could not only increase the nutritive profile of the noodles, but also improved the cooking quality characteristics of the noodles.

References

- A.O.A.C. 1995. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International, 15th edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
- Afify, A.M.R., El-Beltagi, H.S., Abd El-Salam, S.M. and Omran, A.A. 2011. Bioavailability of iron, zinc, phytate and phytase activity during soaking and

germination of white sorghum varieties. PLoS ONE 6(10): 25512, 1-7.

- Akanbi, T. O., Nazamid, S., Adebowale, A. A., Farooq, A. and Olaoye, A. O. 2011. Breadfruit starch-wheat flour noodles: preparation, proximate compositions and culinary properties. International Food Research Journal 18: 1283-1287.
- Akubor, P.I. and Obiegbuna, J.E. 1999. Certain chemical and functional properties of ungerminated and germinated millet flour. Journal of Food Science and Technology 36: 241-243.
- Alemu, M.K. 2009. The effect of natural fermentation on some antinutritional factors, minerals, proximate composition and sensory characteristics in sorghum based weaning food. M.Sc. Thesis, Addis Ababa, Univ., Ethiopia, 83 p.
- Appiah, F., Oduro, I. and Ellis, W.O. 2011. Functional properties of Artocarpus altilis pulp flour as affected by fermentation. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America 2(5): 773-779.
- Bau, H., Villaume, C., Nicolas, J. and Mejean, L.1997. Effect of germination on chemical composition, biochemical constituents and antinutritional factors of soya bean (*Glycine max*) seeds. Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture 73: 1-9.
- Brandtzaeg, B., Malleshi, N.G., Svanberg, U., Desikachar, H.S.B. and Mellander, O. 1981. Dietary bulk as a limiting factor for nutrient intake with special reference to the feeding of pre-school children. III. Studies of malted flour from ragi, sorghum, and green gram. Journal of Tropical Pediatrics 27: 184-189.
- Cato, L.,Halmos, A.L. and Small, D.M. 2006. Impact of alpha amylase on quality characteristics of Asian white salted noodles made from Australian white wheat flour. Cereal Chemistry 83(5): 491-497.
- Chavan, J.K., Kadam, S.S. and Salunkhe, D.K. 1989. Cowpea. In Salunkhe, D.K. and Kadam, S.S. (Eds). Handbook of world food legumes: Nutritional chemistry, processing technology and utilization, Florida: CRS.
- Chompreeda, P., Resurreccion, A.V.A., Hung, Y.C. and Beuchat, L.R. 1987. Quality evaluation of peanutsupplemented Chinese type noodles. Journal of Food Science 52: 1740-1741.
- Chung, H.J., Cho, A. and Lim S.T. 2012. Effect of heat moisture treatment for utilization of germinated brown rice in wheat noodle. LWT-Food Science and Technology 47: 342-347.
- Coffmann, C.W. and Garcia, V.V. 1977. Functional properties and amino acids content of a protein isolate from mung bean flour. Journal of Food Technology 12: 473-480.
- Collado, L.S. and Corke, H. 1996. Use of wheat sweet potato composite flours in yellow alkaline and white-salted noodles. Cereal chemistry 73: 439-444.
- Collins, J.L. and Pangloli, P. 1997. Chemical, physical and sensory attributes of noodles with added sweet potato and soy flour. Journal of Food Science 62: 622-625.
- Devaraju, B., Begum, J. M., Begum, S. and Vidya, K. 2008. Finger millet pasta fortified with plant and

animal protein and their sensory qualities. Journal of Dairying, Food and Home Sciences 27(3/4): 193-195.

- El Maki, H.B., Babiker, E.E. and El Tinay, A.H.1999. Changes in chemical composition, grain malting, starch and tannin contents and protein digestibility during germination of sorghum cultivars. Food Chemistry 64: 331-336.
- Fernandez, M.L. and Berry, J.W. 1989. Rheological properties of flour and sensory characteristics of bread made from germinated chickpea. International Journal of Food Science and Technology 24: 103–110.
- Fu, B., Nelson, P.E., Irvine, R. and Kanach, L.L. 1996. Processing of nutritious, safe and acceptable foods from CELSS candidate crops. Advances in Space Research 18(1/2): 241-50.
- Galvez, F. C. F. and Resurrection, A.V.A. 1992. Reliability of the focus group technique in determining the quality characteristics of mungbean (*Vigna radiatu* (L.) Wikzec) noodles. Journal of Sensory Studies 7(4): 315-326.
- Ge, Y., Sun, A., Ni, Y. and Cai, T. 2001. Study and development of a defatted wheat germ nutritive noodle. European Food Research Technology 212: 344-348.
- Gernah, D.I., Ariahu, C.C. and Ingbian, E.K. 2011. Effect of malting and lactic fermentation on some chemical and functional properties of maize (*Zea mays*). American Journal of Food Technology 6(5): 404-412.
- Gopaldas, T., Deshpande, S. and John, C. 1988. Studies on a wheat-based amylase-rich food. Food and Nutrition Bulletin 10(3): 55-59.
- Hallen, E., Ibanoglu, S. and Ainsworth, P. 2004. Effect of fermented/germinated cowpea flour addition on the rheological and baking properties of wheat flour. Journal of Food Engineering 63: 177-84.
- Hansen, M., Pedersen, B., Munck, L. and Eggum, B.O. 1989. Weaning foods with improved energy and nutrient density prepared from germinated cereals. 1. Preparation and dietary bulk of gruels based on barley. Food and Nutrition Bulletin 11(2): 40-45.
- Harijono, Estiasih, T., Dinar S. Saputri and Kusnadi, J. 2013. Effect of blanching on properties of yam (*Dioscorea alata*) flour. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology 5(10): 1342-1350.
- Hasmadi, M., Siti Faridah, A., Salwa, I., Matanjun, P., Abdul Hamid, M. and Rameli, A. S. 2014. The effect of seaweed composite flour on the textural properties of dough and bread. Journal of Applied Phycology 26: 1057–1062.
- Higley, J.S., Love, S.L., Price, W.J., Nelson, J.E. and Huber, K.C. 2003. The rapid visco analyzer (RVA) as a tool for differentiating potato cultivars on the basis of flour pasting properties. American Journal of Potato Research 80: 195-206.
- Husniati and Anastasia, F. D. 2013. Effect of the addition of glucomannan to the quality of composite noodle prepared from wheat and fermented cassava flours. Journal of Basic Application Science Resources 3(1): 1-4.
- Iwe, M.O. and Onalope, O.O. 2001. Effect of extruded

full-fat soy flour into sweet potato flour on functional properties of the mixture. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and the Environment 3: 109-117.

- Izydorczyk, M.S., Lagasse, S.L., Hatcher, D.W., Dexter, J.E. and Rossnagel, B.G. 2004. The enrichment of Asian noodles with fiber-rich fractions derived from roller milling of hull-less barley. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture (85): 2094-2104.
- Jeffers, H.C., Noguchi, G. and Rubenthaler, G.L. 1979. Effects of legume fortifiers on the quality of udon noodles. Cereal Chemistry 56: 573-576.
- Jin, M., Wu, J. and Wu, X. 1994. A study on properties of starches used for starch noodle making. In G. Xie, & Z. Ma (Eds.), Proceedings 1994 international symposium and exhibition on new approaches in the production of food stuffs and intermediate products from cereal grains and oil seeds (pp. 488e496), CCOA/ ICC/ AACC meeting, Beijing.
- Kafilat, A.K. 2010. Physical, functional and sensory properties of yam flour "elubo" obtained from kuto market abeokuta. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria.
- Kavitha, S. and Parimalavalli, R. 2014. Effect of processing methods on proximate composition of cereal and legume flours. Journal of Human Nutrition and Food Science 2(6): 1051-1055.
- Kim, Y.S., Wiesenborn, D.P., Lorenzen, J.H. and Berglund, P. 1996. Suitability of edible beans and potato starches for starch noodles. Cereal Chemistry 73: 302-308.
- Kim, Z.U. and Park, W.P. 1992. Manufacture of extruded noodles mixed with soymilk residue. Journal of Korean Agricultural and Chemical Society 33: 216-222.
- Kinsella, J.K. 1976. Functional properties of protein food: A survey. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 1: 219-229.
- Kruger, J.E., Hatcher, D.W. and Anderson, M.J. 1998. The effect of incorporation of rye flour on the quality of oriental noodles. Food Research International 31: 27-35.
- Kulkarni S. S., Desai A. D., Ranveer R. C. and Sahoo A.K. 2012. Development of nutrient rich noodles by supplementation with malted ragi flour. International Food Research Journal 19(1): 309-313.
- Lateef, O. S., Christiana, A. B. and Silifat, A. S. 2004. Production of instant cassava noodles. Journal of Food Technology 2(2): 83-89.
- Lee, C.H., Cho, J.K., Lee, S.J., Koh, W., Park, W. and Kim, C.H. 2002. Enhancing carotene content in Asian noodles by adding pumpkin powder. Cereal Chemistry 79: 593-595.
- Lee, L., Baik, B.K. and Czuchajowska, Z. 1998. Garbanzo bean flour usage in Cantonese noodles. Journal of Food Science 63: 552-558.
- Lii, C. and Chang, S. M. 1981. Characterization of red bean starch and its noodle quality. Journal of Food Science 46: 79-81.
- Loos, P.J., Hood, L.F. and Garham, A.J. 1981. Isolation and characterization of starch from breadfruit. Cereal Chemistry 58(4): 282-286.

- Manthey, F.A., Yalla, S.R., Dick, T.J. and Badaruddin, M. 2004. Extrusion properties and cooking quality of spaghetti containing buckwheat bran flour. Cereal Chemistry 81: 232-236.
- Mensa-Wilmot, Y., Philips, R.D. and Hargrove, J.L. 2001. Protein quality evaluation of cowpea-based extrusion cooked cereal/legume weaning mixtures. Nutrition Research 21: 849–857.
- Mosha, A.C. and Svanberg, U. 1983. Preparation of weaning foods with high nutrient density using flour of germinated cereals (UNU). Food and Nutrition Bulletin 5: 10-14.
- Nagao, S. (1996). Processing technology of noodle products in Japan. In J. E. Kruger, R. B. Matsuo, & J. W. Dick (Eds.), Pasta and noodle technology (pp. 169e194). St. Paul, Minnesota: AACC.
- Nielsen, M.A., Sumner, A.K. and Whalley, L.L. 1980. Fortification of pasta with pea flour and air -classified pea protein concentrate. Cereal Chemistry 57: 203-206.
- Ogunlakin, G.O., M.O. Oke, G.O. Babarinde and D.G. Olatunbosun, 2012. Effect of drying methods on proximate composition and physico-chemical properties of cocoyam flour. American Journal of Food Technology 7(4): 245-250.
- Okaka, J.C. and Potter, N.N. 1977. Functional and storage properties of cowpea-wheat flour blends in bread making. Journal of Food Science 42: 828-833.
- Park, C.S. and Baik B.K. 2004. Cooking time of white salted noodles and its relationship with protein and amylase contents of wheat. Cereal chemistry 81: 165-171.
- Prinyawiwatkul, W., McWatters, K.H., Beuchat, L.R. and Phillips, R.D. (1996). Cowpea flour: a potential ingredient in food products. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 36: 413-36.
- Reungmaneepaitoon, S. 2009. Development of instant fried noodles made from composite flour of wheat and sweet potato flours. Kasetsart Journal: Natural Science 43: 768-779.
- Sathe, S.K. and Salunkhe, D.K. 1981. Fermentation of the great northern bean (*Phuseolus vulgaris* L.) and rice blends. Journal of Food Science 46: 1374-1378.
- Singh, N., Chauhan, G.S. and Bains, G.S. 1989. Effect of soyflour supplementation on the quality of cooked noodles. International Journal of Food Science and Technology 24: 111-114.
- Singh, U. 2001. Functional properties of grain legumes flour. Journal of Food Science and Technology 38: 191-199.
- Solsulski, F.W. 1962. The centrifuge method for determining flour absorption in hard red spring wheats. Cereal chemistry 39: 344-349.
- Sosulski, F.W., Humbert, E.S., Bui, E.S. and Jones, J.I. 1976. Functional properties of rapeseed flours, concentrates and isolates. Journal of Food Science 41: 1349-1351.
- Subagio, A. 2006. Characterization of hyacinth of hyacinth bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) seeds from Indonesia and their protein isolate. Food chemistry 95: 65-70.

- Subba, D. and Katawal, B.S. 2013. Effect of particle size of rice flour on physical and sensory properties of sel roti. Journal of Food Science and Technology 50(1): 181-185.
- Uwaegbute, A.C., Iroegbu, C.U. and Eke, O. 2000. Chemical and sensory evaluation of germinated cowpeas (*Vigna unguiculata*) and their products. Food Chemistry 68: 141-146.
- Vijayakumar, T. P., Mohan Kumar, J. B. and Srinivasan, T. 2010. Quality evaluation of noodles from millet flour blend incorporated composite flour. Electronic Journal of Environmental, Agricultural and Food Chemistry 9(3): 479-492.
- Yadav, B.S., Yadav, R.B. and Kumar, M. 2011. Suitability of pigeon pea and rice starches and their blends for noodle making. LWT-Food Science and Technology 44: 1415-1421.
- Yadav, B.S., Yadav, R.B., Kumari, M. and Khatkar, B.S. 2014. Studies on suitability of wheat flour blends with sweet potato, colocasia and water chestnut flours for noodle making. LWT Food Science and Technology 57: 352-358.
- Zamora, A.F. and Fields, M.L. 1979. Nutritive quality of fermented cowpea (*Vigna sinensis*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Journal of Food Science 44: 234– 243.