Identification of *Escherichia* spp. strains in street-vended beverages and associated preparation surfaces using 16S rRNA analysis
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**Abstract**

Street-vended beverages are commonly prepared by mechanical extraction of the pulp, usually unpasteurised and requires multiple handling steps. Foodborne pathogens transmitted via skin of street vendors or via faecal-oral route may contaminate the preparation surfaces and beverages. The aim of this study is to identify *Escherichia* spp. strains of street-vended beverages and their associated preparation surfaces using 16S rRNA analysis. The hygienic practice of vendors was represented by *Staphylococcus* spp. analysis and *Staphylococcus aureus* is not detected in beverages and associated preparation surfaces. A total of 80 samples (18 beverages, 15 swab samples and 47 direct film samples) were collected followed by enumeration of microbial load. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification and 16S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) sequencing were carried out. Results of 16S rRNA sequence analysis indicated that three gram-negative isolates were identified as *Escherichia coli* RM9387 (Accession no. CP009104.1), *Escherichia coli* c164 (Accession no. JQ781646.1) and *Escherichia fergusonii* E10 (Accession no. KJ626264.1) with similarity value of 99% respectively.

**Introduction**

In developing countries, beverages sold by street-vendors are widely consumed by the society (Al Mamun et al., 2013). In urban as well as rural area, street-vended consumables are becoming popular as they are inexpensive, convenient and attractive (World Health Organisation, 1996). Besides, such beverages are readily available and affordable to the population (Mahale et al., 2008). The World Health Organization (WHO) defined street-vended foods as “foods and beverages prepared and/or sold by vendors in streets and other public places for immediate consumption or consumption at a later time without further processing or preparation” (WHO, 1996). Unpasteurised clouded, untreated juices are widely consumed because of the satisfactory flavour attributes. For instance, coconut water and sugarcane juice can be easily prepared by mechanical extraction of the pulp and liquid of mature fruits (Nonga et al., 2014).

In Malaysia, the preponderance of foodborne disease as an important public health problem is alarmingly high as it causes significant impact on economic and trade of the country (Sharifa et al., 2013). Based on the current society, there are a few phenomenon that are detected and encountered. The consumers emphasize on sensory taste instead of the hygienic level of beverages prepared by vendors. Furthermore, the contamination of beverages and unhygienic preparation surfaces that leads to foodborne outbreaks and will harm the safety of consumers. Sources of contamination may vary (Tambekar et al., 2009) and pathogenic organisms are able to enter and contaminate foods and beverages through damaged or injured plant and fruit surfaces that occur during growing, harvesting as well as improper washing during preparation. Such beverages are identified as potential sources of bacterial pathogens notably coliform, *Escherichia coli*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Mukhopadhyay et al., 2011). Tan et al. (2013) reported that in Malaysia, transmission of bacteria such as *S. aureus* into food is mainly due to the unhygienic practice of food handlers.

Various types of pathogenic microbes in water source including bacteria, viruses, and protozoa have been linked to human disease caused by the usage of contaminated food and water (Kinge et al., 2008). These water-related diseases include diarrhoea, typhoid, hepatitis, cholera, and dysentery (Kinge et al., 2008). In developing countries, limited access to...
safe water and substandard sanitation continues to jeopardise human health. According to WHO (2003), since then, pathogenic bacteria have been identified as the utmost etiological agent in the vast majority of the waterborne outbreak reported worldwide. Hence a study of the street-vended beverages was undertaken to assess the safety for human consumption and as possible sources of bacterial pathogens.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sampling**

Fresh, unpasteurised sugarcane juice (n=9) and coconut water samples (n=9) were collected from street vendors in Jeli District, Malaysia. All samples were stored below 4°C and transported to the laboratory for microbiological analyses. The pH values of all sampled beverages were measured.

**Swabbing of preparation surfaces**

Samples were collected from vendors’ left and right palm (72 cm² on each palm) using sterile 3M swabs pre-moistened with 0.1% sterile peptone water (Oxoid, England). The surface points (upper pressing unit, lower pressing unit) (100 cm²) of sugarcane extractor, coconut opener (54 cm²) and apron (100 cm²) were swabbed. Swabbing was performed horizontally and vertically (Harrigan, 1998). In addition, Sanita-kun (JNC Corporation, Japan) direct stamping was also carried out on all preparation surfaces. Then, the swabs and Sanita-kun film samples were stored and transported to laboratory under cold-storage conditions (Tan et al., 2014).

**Bacteriological examination**

Total Plate Counts (TPCs) were determined using plate count agar (Oxoid, England) pour plate method and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Visible colonies were counted using Bacterial Colony Counter (Funke Gerber, Germany) (Harrigan, 1998). The existence of coliform was determined using multiple-tube fermentation technique and the three-tube most-probable-number (MPN) method. Appropriate dilutions of samples were inoculated into triplicates of Lauryl Sulfate Tryptose broth (Merck, Germany) (Bagci and Temiz, 2011). Then, one loopful of culture from presumptive positive Lauryl Sulfate Tryptose tubes were confirmed by sub-culturing into Brilliant Green Bile broth (R and MJL Chemicals, U.K.) and further incubated at 37°C for 24 hours (Bagci and Temiz, 2011).

**Determination of Escherichia coli**

One loopful of positive Lauryl Sulfate Tryptose broth were transferred into EC broth (Oxoid, England) and incubated. Presumptive positive tubes were further isolated on Eosin Methylene Blue agar (Merck, Germany) (Mallmann and Darby, 1941) and subjected to IMViC test. For indole production, 0.3 ml of Kovác’s reagent (Merck, Germany) were added into incubated tryptone broth (Merck, Germany) containing presumptive E. coli colony (Tan et al., 2014). Indole positive colony from nutrient agar was inoculated on Simmons Citrate agar (Oxoid, England) slant for citrate test.

**Determination of Staphylococcus aureus**

For each dilution to be plated, 1 ml of inoculum was aseptically distributed equitably to 3 plates of Baird-Parker agar (Merck, Germany) with Egg Yolk Tellurite Emulsion (Oxoid, England). Presumptive Staphylococcus aureus colonies from Baird-Parker agar were transferred into 0.2 ml Brain Heart Infusion broth (Merck, Germany) and emulsified thoroughly for coagulase test. Then, 0.3 ml of rabbit coagulase plasma with EDTA (Remel, United Kingdom) were added to Brain Heart Infusion culture and examined periodically for clot formation (Harrigan, 1998; Kateete et al., 2010).

**DNA extraction and agarose gel electrophoresis**

Presumptive positive E. coli samples were preserved using glycerol stock. Bacteria cells were then inoculated into 5 ml Luria Bertani broth (Merck, Germany) and incubated overnight until the optical density (OD) value of culture falls within the range of 0.8-1.0 at 600 nm (Kinge et al., 2008; Magray et al., 2011). Genomic DNA were then extracted (Fattahi et al., 2013) using G-spin Total Genomic DNA Kit (Intron, Korea). Horizontal agarose 1% w/v slab gel was prepared using agarose (Vivantis, USA), 1X Tris-Acetate-EDTA (40 mM tris-acetate; 20 mM glacial acetic acid and 1.0 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) and 10 μl of 1 mg/ml ethidium bromide (Kinge et al., 2008). DNA ladder of 1 kb and 100 bp and each DNA template with loading dye (Vivantis, USA) were loaded into the well prior to electrophoresis. The agarose gel was electrophoresed for 45 minutes at 80 V and EtBr-stained agarose gel was visualised under UV transilluminator (Kazemi and Hajizadeh, 2012).

**Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

Extracted DNA from isolated bacteria were then used for PCR and 16S rRNA analysis using 16S rRNA universal primers (Forward primer 5’-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3’ and reverse primer 5’-CGGCTACCTTGTTAATCCT-3’) (Magray et al., 2011). PCR reaction was carried out in 50 μl
PCR reaction mixture (2.5 U Taq DNA polymerase, 10 p moles each of the forward and reverse primers, 1X Taq buffer, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, DNA template and sterile distilled water) (1st Base, Singapore). PCR amplification was performed in a Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf AG 22331 Hamburg, Germany) under conditions such as heat denaturation at 94°C for 4 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 minute; annealing at 59°C for 1 minute and extension at 72°C for 1 minute. This was followed by a final extension step at 72°C for 7 minutes and 4°C hold (Kinge et al., 2008; Pathak et al., 2013). Also, the purity of DNA were determined using Nanodrop Spectrophotometer (DeNovix, United State) at A₂₆₀/₂₈₀ and A₂₆₀/₂₃₀ (Pathak et al., 2013). Vivantis Gel DNA Purification Kit was used to perform gel extraction purification.

### 16S rRNA sequencing

Purified PCR products were subjected for 16S rRNA fragment sequencing. The relative molecular sizes of the PCR products were compared against the sequences from the Gen Bank database, National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) analysis program (Fattahi et al., 2013).

### Results and Discussion

The microbiological standard of beverages and preparation surfaces concerned were compared against Ministry of Health (MOH) Malaysia drinking water quality as well as World Health Organisation (WHO), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) drinking water regulations. Prior to any microbial test, the pH of sugarcane juice were taken as it may contribute to microbial growth. The pH of sugarcane juice were recorded from stall A (pH 4.38), stall B (pH 5.35) and stall C (pH 4.67). These values are in the range of Oliveira et al. (2006) and Mahale et al. (2008) studies (pH 4.89). Although low pH value may have inhibitory effects to microbial contamination (Nonga et al., 2014) and the survival of pathogenic bacteria in beverages, large groups of bacteria are able to grow at pH greater than 4 (Oliveira et al., 2006).

Based on the distribution of bacteria from total plate counts of sugarcane juice, stall B showed the highest bacteria load at 2.63±0.01 log CFU/ml (P<0.05) compared to Stall A and C’s sugarcane juice (Table 1). The detection of coliform contamination in juice samples clearly indicated that it does not fulfill WHO and EPA’s standard where no coliform should be detected. All sugarcane juice samples recorded 240 MPN/ml for presumptive *Escherichia coli* counts (ECCs). Finding presumptive pathogenic *E. coli* species in beverages ready for consumption at all three stalls is generally viewed as an indication of faecal contamination (Lambrechts et al., 2014).

*Staphylococcus aureus* had been reported in street-vended juice samples (Suneetha et al., 2011). In this study, stall A sugarcane juice reported the highest staphylococci load (2.62±0.02 log CFU/ml) (Table 1). In contrast, staphylococci were not detected in *S. aureus* selective agar in stall C’s sugarcane juice sample. This indicated better hygiene management of beverages preparation since human skin and mucous membrane are the natural carrier of *S. aureus* (Lambrechts et al., 2014). Based on the coagulase test, none of the samples from stall A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stall</th>
<th>Total Plate Count</th>
<th>Total Coliform Count</th>
<th><em>Escherichia coli</em></th>
<th>Staphylococcus spp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (log CFU/ml)</td>
<td>Mean (MPN/ml)</td>
<td>Mean (MPN/ml)</td>
<td>Mean (log CFU/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sugarcane</td>
<td>Coconut</td>
<td>Sugarcane</td>
<td>Coconut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.49±0.07</td>
<td>2.50±0.05</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.83±0.01</td>
<td>2.56±0.01</td>
<td>&gt;1100</td>
<td>&gt;1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.32±0.01</td>
<td>2.12±0.01</td>
<td>&gt;1100</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not Detected

Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n=3).

abc values with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05).
and stall B sugarcane juice showed coagulation. The possible species on Baird-Parker agar but responded as negative-coagulase is *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.

For coconut water, Yong et al. (2009) claimed that the pH of fresh coconut water for consumption should fall within the range of 4.6–5.6. The present study showed the average pH of coconut water from stalls A, B and C were 4.80, 5.27 and 4.78 respectively which is compatible with the study. Coliform counts in coconut water ranged between 43 MPN/ml to >1000 MPN/ml (Table 1) which is also incompatible with WHO and EPA standard as no coliform should be present. The presumptive positive *E. coli* species detected in coconut water was non-pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae bacteria. For identification of *Staphylococcus*, stall A recorded the highest staphylococci bacteria load followed by stall B while stall C’s samples did not show characteristic feature of staphylococci colony.

Pearson correlation showed that the average TPCs with presumptive *Staphylococcus aureus* counts (SACs) of sugarcane juice and coconut water, from all three selected vendors were highly correlated, \( r = 0.956 \) which showed high correlation. Morita et al. (2003) and Park and Kim (2013) reported that the plate count and Sanita-kun film count correlation coefficient were \( r = 0.99 \) and therefore Sanita-kun is an acceptable alternative to various agar medium.

Table 2 showed that there were no significant difference (\( P > 0.05 \)) in TPCs between both left and right hands of the street vendors. The TPCs of stall B handler’s left and right hands recorded the highest bacteria load at 2.96±0.02 and 2.90±0.02 log CFU/ml respectively. Stall B’s handler also recorded the highest *Staphylococcus* sp. count for sugarcane juice (2.63±0.01 log CFU/ml) and coconut water (2.56±0.01 log CFU/ml). The TPCs on both hands were compatible with Christison et al. (2008) who stated that the TPCs of handlers’ hands were comparably higher than beverages. Likewise, similar trends were observed for stall A and stall C vendor’s hands. It can be deduced that cross-contamination occurs where partial microbial load was transmitted from the preparation surface during handling process (Mahale et al., 2008) and from handler’s hands to the sugarcane juice and coconut water (Tan et al., 2014).

Among all five types of preparation surfaces examined, sugarcane extractors revealed the highest TPCs (4.13±0.01 and 3.91±0.01 log CFU/ml) for stall B and stall C respectively (Table 2). Gilbert et al. (2000) suggested that several factors attributed to the prevalence of coliform on preparation surfaces i.e. the unhygienic practices of vendors, equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample type / Stall</th>
<th>Total Plate Count (log CFU/ml)</th>
<th>Total Coliform Count (MPN/ml)</th>
<th>Escherichia coli</th>
<th>Staphylococcus spp.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Left hand</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&lt;2.40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right hand</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.96 ± 0.02</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>&lt;2.40</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Areas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&lt;2.40</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.76 ± 0.02</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.87 ± 0.02</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sugarcane juice extractors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&lt;2.40</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.91 ± 0.01</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coconut water extractors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>&lt;2.40</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.02</td>
<td>&lt;3.0</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.01</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: Not Detected
Data are expressed as means ± standard deviation (n=3)
ab values with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference (\( P < 0.05 \)) for each type of food contact surfaces
design (e.g. the uneven and ragged surface of the upper and lower pressing unit of sugarcane extractor that build-up food debris and microorganisms) and cleaning and sanitation procedures. Results from the present study showed that sugarcane juice extractor from stall C exhibited positive citrate test with the highest presumptive \textit{E. coli} counts (240 MPN/ml, 2.79±0.01 log CFU/cm$^2$).

The microbial status of the coconut opener which is a knife used by street vendors is also shown in Table 2. The total coliform counts and presumptive \textit{Escherichia coli} MPN test results were negative for all coconut opener samples analysed. The microbiological quality of street vendors’ aprons with TPCs ranged from < 2.40 to 3.77±0.02 log CFU/ml. There is a vast difference between the total microbial load of stall B vendor’s apron (3.77±0.02 log CFU/ml) compared with stall A and stall C (Table 2).

This study showed high bacterial load in beverages ranging from 2.12±0.01 to 2.63±0.01 log CFU/ml. The TPCs for preparation surfaces fluctuates and covers a wide range, i.e. from an acceptable range of <2.40 to 4.13±0.01 log CFU/ml. This reflects the inadequate sanitary of the sugarcane juice, coconut water and utensils used (Oliveira et al. 2006). Results showed that different street vendors will significantly affect (P<0.05) the presumptive \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} load for each preparation surfaces. The staphylococci microbial load for left and right hands, sugarcane extractor and aprons using both BPA and Sanita-kun \textit{S. aureus} direct stamping method were in the order of stall B > stall A > stall C. However, all preparation surfaces were tested as coagulase negative and eliminated the possibility of the presence of pathogenic \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} species.

High surrounding temperature of street-vended beverages favours bacteria growth (Nonga et al. 2014). Furthermore, heavy vehicular traffic also contributes to high TPCs of street-vended stalls (Mugampoza et al., 2013) and are susceptible to contamination by dust-laden microorganisms. With regards to waste disposal, dumping of wastes on-site with piles of uncovered waste is another unhygienic source that causes further cross-contamination to the consumable goods (Nonga et al., 2014).

In some circumstances, both swabbing and dry culture medium methods have pros and cons. The direct stamping method using sheet medium can effectively pick up bacteria present at the surface examined. While for sterile swabs, the collection surface is notably smaller and if excess moisture is applied on the sampling surface, it can cause residual to remain on the tested surface. However, swab samples are best suited for use on irregular surfaces such as sugarcane extractor in this study while flat sheet medium is less preferred. The Sanita-kun \textit{E. coli} and coliform chromogenic medium were metabolised by the growing microorganism. The coloured colonies were easily distinguished between \textit{E. coli} and other coliform colonies because the dry medium was hydrolysed by galactosidase from coliforms and will produce X-Gal visible blue dye upon coliform growth. Meanwhile, salmon-glucuronic acid composed in the medium was hydrolysed by glucuronidase from \textit{E. coli} to produce a red-purple dye (Ushiyama and Iwasaki, 2010). The Sanita-kun \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} dry culture medium contains chromogenic agent and a specific fluorescence enzyme substrate (Waku et al. 2013). A buffering agent is also present to adjust and maintain the pH at approximately 7.0 to favour the growth of bacteria. As bacteria grow, the blue and black colour colonies will develop. This eases the direct enumeration of \textit{S. aureus} compared with enumeration on Baird-Parker agar where \textit{S. aureus} was distinguished among black colonies by identifying opaque ring clear zones (Waku et al., 2013).

DNA extractions were conducted on \textit{E.coli} strains isolated from sugarcane juice of all stalls and sugarcane extractor from stall C as only replicates from these four isolates were identified as pathogenic \textit{E. coli} through IMViC test. Replicates of extracted
DNA samples were subjected to gel electrophoresis. All DNA samples migrated from the cathode towards the anode, showing bands of DNA fragments at respective wells while elution without DNA samples was set as the negative control. The rate of travel of DNA towards the positive pole of agarose gel varies inversely with its molecular weight. This is explained by the large size of DNA fragments entangled in the gel matrix and which migrated slowly (Magray et al., 2011). Since the initial DNA extracts often contains RNA and protein, it caused smearing of bands and may interfere with the extracted DNA and need to be purified to retain only targeted DNA fragment. DNA products of all samples were subjected to PCR to multiply the copies of DNA from a single strand of DNA extracted. Through PCR, the targeted DNA was amplified to enable the selection of *E. coli*. Universal primers were used for the amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragment (Magray et al., 2011). Since the initial DNA extracts often contains RNA and protein, it caused smearing of bands and may interfere with the extracted DNA and need to be purified to retain only targeted DNA fragment. DNA products of all samples were subjected to PCR to multiply the copies of DNA from a single strand of DNA extracted. Through PCR, the targeted DNA was amplified to enable the selection of *E. coli*. Universal primers were used for the amplification of 16S rRNA gene fragment (Magray et al., 2011).

The negative control in the above agarose gel for gel electrophoresis of PCR product received the PCR mixture containing no DNA template (Fattahi et al., 2013). High intensity of PCR products in Figure 1 indicates that selective amplification of *E. coli* was successfully attained using universal primers during annealing at 72°C with high concentration of DNA fragments obtained (Sabat et al., 2000). From the gel electrophoresis of PCR products, only PCR products with high intensity and clear band under UV illumination (S3, S4, S6 and S9) from each type of sample were selected for PCR purification.

Purification step was performed with the aid of Vivantis Gel DNA Recovery Kit for rapid purification of residual reagents during PCR reaction and elimination of the unspecific DNAs that caused smearing of bands observed in S3, S4, S6 and S9. The clear and single sharp purified bands of 1500 bp (Figure 2) represents good quality DNA and these enable the 16S rRNA fragments (Fattahi et al., 2013) of samples from sugarcane juices and sugarcane juice extractor to be subjected to DNA sequencing to identify strains of *Escherichia coli*.

The sequencing results were obtained from MyTACG Bioscience Enterprise. All four isolates were aligned using nucleotide BLAST to ascertain the sequence similarity against the NCBI database. Based on the results obtained, the genera with highest occurring frequency are *Escherichia* spp. in all four samples (Table 3).

*Escherichia coli* strain RM9387 was previously linked to contaminated milk and is commonly isolated from cattle faeces (Yan et al., 2015). *E.coli* RM9387 were also associated with recreational and municipal drinking water outbreaks (Kaper et al., 2004). *Escherichia fergusonii*, isolated from stall C’s sugarcane juice extractor is the closest related species to *Escherichia coli* and both has been reported as pathogenic species in Enterobacteriaceae. *Escherichia fergusonii* and *Escherichia coli* can be isolated from faeces and water samples (Maheux et al., 2014). *Escherichia fergusonii* had recently been shown to cause disease in animals and humans (Forgetta et al., 2012) as it can influence the intestinal function of both poultry and mammals (Hariharan et al., 2007). The presence of *E.coli* on sugarcane plant...
could be due to contamination from animals’ or pests’ faeces (Duncan and Colmer, 1964; Heaton and Jones, 2008) instead of cross-contamination from handlers as E. coli were not detected on handlers’ hands or aprons. Furthermore, when transporting the sugarcane plant, the exposed ends of sugarcane plant can be a favourable environment for the multiplication of E. coli.

Conclusion

*Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus* spp. were present in juice samples and some preparation surfaces. The highest total plate count was recorded in both sugarcane juice and coconut water from stall B. The detection of *E. coli* strain RM9387 in stalls A and B sugarcane juice, *E. coli* strain c164 in stall C’s sugarcane juice sample and *E. fergusonii* strain E10 in stall C sugarcane juice extractor showed that contamination of street-vended beverages or preparation surfaces may present health risk and leads to foodborne illnesses.

Street-vended beverages are prepared for immediate consumption and are usually untreated. The results of this study demonstrated that beverages extracted from fresh fruits and associated preparation surfaces are identified as potential sources of bacterial pathogens. The isolated pathogenic *E. coli* strains may present health risk and leads to foodborne illnesses. Accordingly, adoption of strict hygienic measures should be followed during the preparation of street-vended beverages. Regular monitoring of the quality of street-vended beverages is vital to avoid foodborne illnesses. The public need to have greater awareness with respect to food safety while the authority should enforce regulations by making basic Food Handling Course compulsory to food handlers and further training as required by the relevant authority. Associated preparation surfaces such as sugarcane extractor and coconut opener are potential sources of contamination and should be cleaned and sanitised regularly.
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