Tocotrienol rich fraction supplement reduces oxidative stress in non familial hypercholesterolaemia: beyond the lipid lowering capability

^{1, 2}Muid, S., ³Hamid, Z., and ^{1,2}*Nawawi, H,

¹Institute for Pathology, Laboratory and Forensic Medicine (I-PPerForM), Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), 68100 Batu Caves, Selangor, Malaysia ²Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM), 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia

³Sime Darby Plantations, Ara Damansara, Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia

Article history

<u>Abstract</u>

Received: 7 April 2017 Received in revised form: 25 June 2017 Accepted: 27 June 2017

Keywords

Tocotrienols Non familial hypercholesterolaemia Palmvitee cholesterol Oxidative stress

The beneficial effects of Palm Tocotrienol Rich Fraction (TRF) in the reduction of cholesterol and oxidative stress in human especially in Non-Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (NFH) patients are still lacking and need to be further investigated. In this clinical trial, 37 NFH patients were recruited and randomized to either Palmvitee (60 mg/day TRF) [NFHe; n= 12) and atorvastatin 10 mg/day (NFHs; n=25). Fasting serum lipids, F2 -isoprostanes, oxidized LDL (ox-LDL) and malondialdehyde (MDA) were measured at baseline (BL), 2 weeks and 12 weeks. NFHe group showed significant reduction in Total cholesterol, TC, LDL, MDA, F2 – isoprostanes and ox-LDL at 12 weeks compared to BL. NFHs group showed a reduction in TC, LDL and TG, MDA and F2 – isoprostanes in 12 weeks compared to the BL. NFHs had greater % change reduction of TC, LDL, TG and MDA than NFHe in 12 weeks. Despite that, NFHe and NFHs had comparable % reduction of F2-isoprostanes in 12 weeks. NFHe had greater % change reduction of ox-LDL than NFHs. In conclusion, TRF reduces cholesterol level in NFH patients even though it is not as efficient as statins. The ability of TRF in the reduction of oxidative stress especially F2-isoprostanes is comparable with statins. TRF has a great potential in the prevention of atherosclerosis in part not only due to its cholesterol lowering activity, but perhaps more effective as a potent antioxidant. © All Rights Reserved

Introduction

Hypercholesterolaemia is commonly associated with increased oxidative stress (Yang et al., 2008). Oxidative stress plays a major role in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease (CAD) (Nourooz-Zadeh et al., 2001). Endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells, neutrophils and monocytes can generate an oxidised LDL, a highly atherogenic property, and this process leads to the formation of lipid peroxidation products such as F2isoprostanes, malondialdehyde (MDA) and reactive oxygen species (Ridker, 2003). Endothelium exposed to ox-LDL develops early signs of injury such as apoptosis and decreases the gene expression of the endothelial cell NO synthase (eNOS) leading to endothelial dysfunction (Ridker et al., 2004). Ox-LDL induces the expression of adhesions molecules and attachment of monocytes and T lymphocytes to the endothelial cells. In the tunica intima, ox-LDL is taken up by macrophages to produce form cells, a key event in the development of atherosclerosis (Mehta et al., 2001).

Treatment with inhibitors of 3-hydroxyl-3-

methylglutaryl coenzyme-A (HMGCO-A) reductase (statin) reduces serum cholesterol levels and coronary events in patients with hyperlipidaemia (Gresser and Gathof, 2004). Beyond lipid lowering, statin also has beneficial effects on oxidative stress (Madamanchi *et al.*, 2005).

Vitamin E comprises of tocopherols and tocotrienols synthesized by plants and photosynthetic organisms. Palmvitee is a naturally acquired palm oil derived tocotrienol rich fraction (TRF). Vitamin E is an essential, fat-soluble nutrient that functions as an antioxidant in the human body (Galli *et al.*, 2017). Both tocopherols and tocotrienols have four different isomers (α , β , γ and δ) all of which are potent membrane soluble antioxidants (Aggarwal *et al.*, 2010). On the basis of the premise that vitamin E may reduce oxidative stress markers, many clinical trials tested vitamin E supplementation as a therapy to prevent various chronic diseases and the results is not promising (Niki, 2015).

A high dosage of tocopherol of vitamin E (400 IU/D/267 mg/D) has recently been reported to increase all cause mortality in human (Gee, 2011). However, this data was based on the results reported

on the various tocopherols but not naturally acquired tocotrienol-based vitamin E intervention clinical trials.

Several *in vitro* studies have reported the potent anti-oxidative effects of palm oil derived TRF (Kamat et al., 1997; Mutalib et al., 2003; Yam et al., 2009). Tocotrienol has been shown to have more potent antioxidant activity compared to tocopherol yet most clinical trials use tocopherols instead of tocotrienols (Sen et al., 2007). A high concentration of tocotrienol is present in crude palm oil which is extracted from the fruits of Elaeis guineensis (Maclellan, 1983). In various in vitro studies, palm oil derived TRF has been shown to have more potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer, anti-aging, anti-thrombotic and anti-angiogenic activities than tocopherols (Miyazawa et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2009; Aggarwal et al., 2010). TRF but not tocopherol has been shown in in vitro studies to have hypocholesterolaemic activity by suppressing the activity of hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl co-enzyme A reductase (Pearce et al., 1994). Several animal studies have shown its cholesterol lowering effects (Qureshi et al., 2001). However, data on its effects on humans are still lacking and human studies reported controversial results in cholesterol reduction (Tomeo et al., 1995; Mensink et al., 1999; O'Byrne et al., 2000; Wahlqvist et al., 2016).

In addition, it is postulated that the optimal effects of palm oil derived TRF is not necessarily fall at high concentrations. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the effects of TRF on serum lipids and oxidative stress biomarkers in comparison with statin treatment in patients with non-familial hypercholesterolaemia (NFH).

Materials and Methods

Subjects and study design

This was a randomized and prospective clinical trial. Ethics committee approval was obtained prior to the commencement of this study. A total of 37 non familial hypercholesterolaemia (NFH) patients (24 males, 13 females, age + SD age = 45.3 + 9.4 years) were recruited from the Lipid Specialist Clinics of a research institute in Malaysia. The diagnosis of NFH was based on the total cholesterol (TC) concentrations > 6.5 and/or low density lipoprotein (LDL) > 3.8 mmol/L and did not fulfill Simon Broome's Criteria. Patients with diabetes mellitus (type 1 and type 2), hypothyroidism, chronic alcoholism, liver and renal diseases were excluded from the study. Other exclusion criteria for the study were regular intake of antioxidants, acetosalicylate acid or other drugs

with anti-oxidative properties, contraindications or adverse reaction to statins, chronic inflammatory disorders or any condition limiting mobility and severe disease shortening life expectancy (e.g. malignancy). All patients gave written informed consent for participation before recruitment into this study.

Thirty-seven (37) NFH subjects were randomised into 2 treatment groups, which were palmvitee [NFHe; n= 12) or atorvastatin 10 mg/day (NFHs; n=25). Clinical data and blood collection were performed at baseline (BL) and at subsequent two weeks and 12 weeks followed-up visits. Palmvitee supplements contain palm oil derived TRF [67% tocotrienols (28.2% α-, 1.9% β-, 23.1% γ-, 13.5% δ- tocotrienols), 33% α-tocopherol and palm superolein] enclosed in soft gelatin capsules. Palm superolein is a mixture of triglycerides with oleic, palmitic and linoleic fatty acids. Palmvitee were provided by Sime Darby Bioganic, Sdn. Bhd, Malaysia. It has been reported that plasma concentrations of tocotrienol was significantly elevated after supplementation (Zahara et al., 2010). Patient's compliance to medication was assessed by 'pill counting technique' during followups visits.

Blood and data collection

Subjects were confirmed not to have any acute inflammatory disease at least two weeks prior to blood collection. Fasting venous blood samples were collected. Plasma and serum was collected prior to blood centrifugation at 3,000 rpm in 4°C and was stored frozen at -80°C until analysis. Blood pressure at sitting position, body mass index, waist hip ratio, smoking status, alcohol consumption status, personal and family history of CAD was documented. Systolic and diastolic hypertension classifications were based on the WHO criteria (Whitworth, 2003). BMI was calculated by this following formula: BMI = weight (kg)/height² (m²) (Nawawi et al., 2003). Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm using a measuring tape at midway between the inferior margin of the last rib and the iliac crest in a horizontal plane. Hip circumference measurement was taken around the pelvis at the point of maximal protrusion of the buttocks. WHR was derived from the calculated waist/hip ratio.

$Cholesterol\,and\,oxidative\,stress\,markers\,measurement$

Fasting serum lipids (FSL), liver profile and fasting plasma glucose were analysed by an automated analyzer (Cobas Integra 700, Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland). MDA was determined by the method adapted from Ledwozyw *et al.* (1986)

based on the measurement of pink chromogen formed at 532 nm wavelength. F2-isoprostanes and ox-LDL were measured by ELISA, as instructed by the manufacturer (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden and Cayman Chemicals, USA respectively).

Statistical analysis

The sample size (n >10) in this study was determined by the Power and Sample size program (PS) version 3.0 to provide a study power of 80% at 5% level of significance. Variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm SEM. Comparison between two treatment groups was determined by independent t-test. Paired sample t-test was used to determine the effects of treatment in each NFH treatment groups. The criterion for statistical significance was at p value < 0.05. The Chi-square was used to examine associations between categorical variables. Data was analysed by a statistical package program (SPSS) version 16.0

Results

BL characteristics

In this prospective study, 37 NFH patients were divided into 2 treatment groups (NFHe and NFHs). For NFHe group, 12 patients were recruited (mean \pm SEM age = 43.1 \pm 10.5, 7 males and 5 females). Twenty-five patients were randomised into NFHs group (mean \pm SEM age = 46.3 \pm 9.0, 17 males and 8 females). BL characteristics parameters among all groups were matched and did not differ significantly (Table 1). In terms of oxidative stress biomarkers, all groups were matched for their F2-isoprostanes and ox-LDL level. However, NFHs group had higher MDA levels compared to NFHe (p<0.0001).

Lipid profile

Table 2 illustrated the lipid profile of NFHe and NFHs groups at BL (BL), 2 weeks and 12 weeks post-TRF supplementation. In NFHe groups, TC (p<0.05) and LDL (p<0.05) were significantly reduced after 12 weeks TRF supplementation compared to BL. However, there was a significant increase of TG levels (p<0.05) at 12 weeks post-TRF supplementation compared to BL with no significant change in HDL levels. In the NFHs group, there was a significant reduction of TC (p<0.0001) LDL (p<0.0001) and TG (p<0.005) at 2 weeks compared to BL (p<0.0001) and the reduction was maintained at 12 weeks. However, there were no differences in HDL levels at 2 weeks and 12 weeks post-statin treatment compared to BL. In order to compare the effects of TRF versus statin, percentage (%) change of lipids in each NFHe and

Table 1. BL characteristics of NFHe and NFHs patients

Parameters	NFHe	NFHs	p value
	(n=12)	(n=25)	
^a Age (years)	43.1 <u>+</u> 10.5	46.3 <u>+</u> 9.0	NS
^b Gender (M/F)	7/5	17/8	NS
(%)	(58/42)	(68/32)	
^b Race (M/C/I)	13/2/0	20/5/0	NS
(%)	(92/8/0)	(80/20/0)	
^b Current smoker (%)	2/10	4/25	NS
_	(17)	(16)	
ªBMI (kg m²)	25.3 <u>+</u> 4.4	26.0 <u>+</u> 4.3	NS
^a Waist (cm)	89.6 <u>+</u> 12.0	89.6 <u>+</u> 10.6	NS
ªWHR	0.87 <u>+</u> 0.02	0.87 <u>+</u> 0.06	NS
³SBP (mm Hg)	128.1 <u>+</u> 14.9	131.8 <u>+</u> 17.9	NS
ªDBP (mm Hg)	75.8 <u>+</u> 10.1	78.2 <u>+</u> 11.0	NS
^b TC (mmol L ⁻¹)	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.7	7.0 <u>+</u> 0.9	NS
°TG (mmol L ⁻¹)	1.4 <u>+</u> 0.8	2.0 <u>+</u> 1.4	NS
^c LDL-c (mmol L ⁻¹)	5.5 + 0.8	4.8 + 0.7	NS
°HDL-c (mmol L-1)	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.3	NS
° MDA (nmol g ⁻¹)	183.2 <u>+</u> 7.2	282.2 <u>+</u> 60.3 ****	< 0.0001
° F2-isoprostanes (pg ml-1)	1700.3 <u>+</u> 241.1	1331.8 <u>+</u> 229.5	NS
° ox-LDL (U L ⁻¹)	79.7 <u>+</u> 9.4	64.9 <u>+</u> 8.2	NS

Note: ^aData are expressed as mean + SD (age)/SEM and ^bData are expressed as proportion (%). M/F indicates Male/Female; M/C/I: Malay, Chinese, Indian; BMI: Body Mass Index; WHR: Waist to Hip Ratio; SBP: Systolic Blood Pressure; DBP: Diastolic Blood Pressure; TC: Total Cholesterol; TG: Triglycerides; LDL-c: Low Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; HDL: High Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; MDA: Malondialdehyde; ox-LDL: oxidized LDL; NS: Not significant.

Table 2. Fasting serum lipid (FSL) levels at BL and posttreatment periods in NFHe and NFHs groups

	то		EROL (mmol L-	h
Groups	BL	2 weeks	12 weeks) p value
NFHe (n=12)	7.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	7.1 <u>+</u> 0.2	7.0 <u>+</u> 0.2*	<0.05
NFHs (n=25)	6.9 <u>+</u> 0.2	5.1 <u>+</u> 0.2****	5.0 <u>+</u> 0.2****	< 0.0001
Groups	LOW BL	DENSITY LIPO 2 weeks	PROTEIN (mmo 12 weeks	pl L ⁻¹) p value
NFHe (n=12)	5.3 <u>+</u> 0.2	5.1 <u>+</u> 0.6	4.9 <u>+</u> 0.2*	<0.05
NFHs (n=25)	4.6 <u>+</u> 0.2	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.2***	3.0 <u>+</u> 0.2****	< 0.0001
	TRIGLYCERIDES (mmol L ⁻¹)			
Groups	BL	2 weeks	12 weeks	p value
NFHe (n=12)	1.4 <u>+</u> 0.2	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.3	1.8 <u>+</u> 0.3*	NS
NFHs (n=25)	2.0 <u>+</u> 0.4	1.5 <u>+</u> 0.3***	1.6 <u>+</u> 0.3***	< 0.005
	HIGH	I DENSITY LIPC	PROTEIN (mm	ol L ^{.1})
Groups	BL	2 weeks	12 weeks	p value
NFHe (n=12)	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1.2 <u>+</u> 0.1	NS
NFHs (n=25)	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	1.4 <u>+</u> 0.1	1.3 <u>+</u> 0.1	NS

Note: Data are expressed mean + SEM. **** p<0.0001, ***p<0.005, ** p<0.01, *p<0.05 compared to BL. NFH indicates Non-Familial Hypercholesterolaemia; NS: Not Significant.

NFHs group was calculated at 2 weeks and 12 weeks treatment period and illustrated in Table 3. NFHe had lower % change reduction of TC compared to NFHs at 2 weeks ($-2.4 \pm 3.3\%$ vs. $-24.9 \pm 3.3\%$, p<0.0001) and 12 weeks ($-4.6 \pm 2.3\%$ vs. $-27.9 \pm 2.6\%$, p<0.0001). Similarly, NFHe had lower % change

	NFHe (n=12) (% ∆)	NFHs (n=25) (% ∆)	NFHe vs. NFHs
TC			
2 weeks	-2.4 + 3.3	-24.9 + 3.3	< 0.0001
12 weeks	-4.6 + 2.3	-27.9 + 2.6	< 0.0001
LDL			
2 weeks	-2.7 <u>+</u> 4.8	-33.2 <u>+</u> 5.7	< 0.0001
12 weeks	-8.9 <u>+</u> 3.8	-36.9 <u>+</u> 3.5	< 0.0001
TG			
2 weeks	16.4 + 11.0	-7.9 + 23.5	NS
12 weeks	24.0 + 9.4	-14.0 + 6.0	< 0.01
HDL			
2 weeks	-4.0 + 3.7	3.0 + 2.7	NS
12 weeks	-5.7 <u>+</u> 4.2	-2.2 + 4.3	NS

Table 3. Comparison of % Delta change of Fasting serum lipid (FSL) among NFH groups

Note:Data are expressed mean + SEM.

NS indicates not significant. % Δ indicates percentage delta change

reduction of LDL compared to NFHs at 2 weeks (-2.7 \pm 4.8% vs. -33.2 \pm 5.7%, p<0.0001) and 12 weeks (-8.9 \pm 3.8% vs. -36.9% \pm 3.5%, p<0.0001). For TG, there was no significant difference in percentage change reduction at 2 weeks between NFHe and NFHs groups. However, at 12 weeks, NFHe showed higher percentage change increment of TG compared to NFHs.

Oxidative stress markers

Table 4 shows MDA, F2-Isoprostane and ox-LDL levels at BL, 2 weeks and 12 weeks post-treatment periods in NFHe and NFHs. In order to compare the effects of TRF with the statin, percentage change of MDA, F2-Isoprostane and ox-LDL in NFH groups was calculated at 2 weeks and 12 weeks treatment period and was illustrated in Table 5.

In NFHe group, there was a significant reduction of MDA levels at 2 weeks (p<0.05) and 12 weeks (p<0.05) post-treatment compared to BL. NFHs treatment group expressed significant reduction of MDA levels after 2 weeks (p<0.05) post-treatment compared to the BL and declined further at 12 weeks (p<0.0001) post-treatment. NFHe and NFHs had similar percentage change reduction of MDA at 2 weeks post-supplementation. However, NFHe had a significantly lower percentage change reduction of MDA at 12 weeks compared to NFHs (-8.7 \pm 2.7% vs. -41.4 \pm 3.3%, p<0.0001).

In NFHe group, there was a significant reduction of F2- isoprostanes levels at 12 weeks (p<0.05) posttreatment compared to BL. NFHs group showed a reduction of F2- isoprostanes at 2 weeks (p<0.05) and 12 weeks (p<0.05) compared to BL. NFHe and NFHs had similar % change of F2- isoprostanes at 2 weeks and 12 weeks post-supplementation.

There was a significance reduction of ox-LDL levels at 12 weeks compared to BL in NFHe (p<0.05)

Table 4. Oxidative stress markers at BL and po	ost-
treatment periods in NFHe and NFHs group	s

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } & \text{MDA (nmol g^{-1} protein)} \\ \hline \text{Groups} & \text{BL} & 2 \ \text{weeks} & 12 \ \text{weeks} & p \ \text{value} \\ \hline \text{NFHe} \\ (n=12) & 183.2 \pm 7.2 & 164.7 \pm 7.8^{*} & 166.6 \pm 6.3^{*} & < 0.005 \\ \hline \text{NFHs} \\ (n=25) & 289.4 \pm 16.0 & 242.1 \pm 16.0^{*} & \frac{159.8 \pm}{7.3^{****@@@}} & < 0.0001 \\ \hline \text{Groups} & \text{BL} & 242.1 \pm 16.0^{*} & \frac{159.8 \pm}{7.3^{****@@@}} & < 0.0001 \\ \hline \text{Groups} & \text{BL} & 2 \ \text{weeks} & 12 \ \text{weeks} & p \ \text{value} \\ \hline \text{NFHe} & 1701.6 \pm \\ (n=12) & 251.1 & 1642.5 \pm 256.1 & \frac{1038.3 \pm}{124.9^{*@}} & \text{NS} \\ \hline \text{NFHs} & \frac{1415.6 \pm}{239.4} & 924.3 \pm 190.4^{*} & 837.9 \pm 171.5^{*} & < 0.0001 \\ \hline \text{Groups} & \text{BL} & 2 \ \text{weeks} & 12 \ \text{weeks} & p \ \text{value} \\ \hline \text{MFHs} & (n=25) & 239.4 & 924.3 \pm 190.4^{*} & 837.9 \pm 171.5^{*} & < 0.0001 \\ \hline \text{Groups} & \text{BL} & 2 \ \text{weeks} & 12 \ \text{weeks} & p \ \text{value} \\ \hline \text{MFHs} & (n=25) & 239.4 & 924.3 \pm 190.4^{*} & 837.9 \pm 171.5^{*} & < 0.0001 \\ \hline \text{Groups} & \text{BL} & 2 \ \text{Weeks} & 12 \ \text{weeks} & p \ \text{value} \\ \hline \text{MFHs} & (n=25) & 20.4 & 12 \ \text{Weeks} & 12 \ \text{Weeks} & p \ \text{value} \\ \hline \text{MFHs} & (n=25) & 20.4 & $	treatment periods in NFHe and NFHs groups				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BL	· · ·		p value
BL 242.1 ± 16.0* 7.3****@© < 0.0001 Groups BL 2 weeks 12 weeks p value NFHe (n=12) 1701.6 ± 251.1 1642.5 ± 256.1 1038.3 ± 124.9*© NS NFHs (n=25) 1415.6 ± 239.4 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001		183.2 <u>+</u> 7.2	164.7 <u>+</u> 7.8*	166.6 <u>+</u> 6.3*	< 0.005
Groups NFHe (n=12) BL 1701.6 ± 251.1 2 weeks 1642.5 ± 256.1 12 weeks 1038.3 ± 124.9*® p value NFHs (n=25) 1415.6 ± 239.4 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001		289.4 <u>+</u> 16.0	242.1 <u>+</u> 16.0*		< 0.0001
Groups NFHe (n=12) BL 1701.6 ± 251.1 2 weeks 1642.5 ± 256.1 12 weeks 1038.3 ± 124.9*® p value NFHs (n=25) 1415.6 ± 239.4 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001			8-epi-PGF _{2a}	(pg ml ⁻¹)	
(n=12) 251.1 1642.5 ± 256.1 124.9*© NS NFHs (n=25) 1415.6 ± 239.4 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001	Groups	BL			p value
NFHs 1415.6 ± 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001 Ox-LDL (U L ⁻¹) Ox-LDL (U L ⁻¹) P value	NFHe	1701.6 <u>+</u>	1640 6 1 056 1	1038.3 <u>+</u>	NC
(n=25) 239.4 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001 Ox-LDL (U L-1) Ox-LDL (U L	(n=12)	251.1	1042.0 <u>+</u> 200.1	124.9*®	NS
(n=25) 239.4 924.3 ± 190.4* 837.9 ± 171.5* < 0.0001 Ox-LDL (U L-1) Ox-LDL (U L					
(n=25) 239.4 - - Ox-LDL (U L ⁻¹) Ox-LDL (U L ⁻¹) Ox-LDL (U L ⁻¹) Groups BL 2 weeks 12 weeks p value			924 3 + 190 4*	837.0 + 171.5*	< 0.0001
Groups BL 2 weeks 12 weeks p value	(n=25)	239.4	-	-	< 0.0001
		Ox-LDL (U L⁻¹)			
		BL	2 weeks		p value
	NFHe	99.0 + 12.4	80.2 + 10.1	61.0 <u>+</u> 6.7*	<0.005
(n=12) 00.0 ± 12.4 09.3 ± 10.1 @@@ <0.003	(n=12)	00.0 1 12.4	00.0 - 10.1	000	-0.000
NFHs (n=26) 60.0 + 6.9 54.2 + 6.1 66.6 + 6.1 [®] NS		60.0 + 6.9	54.2 + 6.1	66.6 + 6.1 [@]	NS
(11-23) – – – –	(n=25)	_	-	_	

Note: Data are expressed mean \pm SEM.

**** p<0.0001, *** p<0.005, * p<0.05 compared to BL. @@@@ p<0.0001, @@@ p<0.005 and @ p<0.05 compared to 2 weeks. \$\$\$\$ p< 0.0001 and \$\$\$ p< 0.005 and \$p<0.05 compared to 12 weeks. NS indicates not significant.

and not in NFHs. In NFHe, ox-LDL levels at 12 weeks had been decreased gradually from 2 weeks and it was statistically significant (p<0.005). However, in NFHs group, ox-LDL levels at 12 weeks was gradually increased from 2 weeks post-treatment (p<0.05). NFHe and NFHs had similar % change of ox-LDL at 2 weeks post-treatment. At 12 weeks, NFHe showed significantly higher % change reduction of ox-LDL compared to NFHs (-18.6 \pm 8.0% vs. 37.1 \pm 19.5%, p<0.05).

Discussion

The main finding of this study was the reduction of cholesterol (TC and LDL) and oxidative stress (MDA, F2-isoprostanes and ox-LDL) after 12 weeks of TRF supplementation in NFH patients. Epidemiological evidence consistently documents that an increased intake of dietary antioxidants reduces the risk for CAD (Rimm et al., 1993; Kushi et al., 1996). Antioxidant vitamins, for example vitamin C and E may provide vascular defense against oxidative stress by scavenging free radicals and thereby protecting nitric oxide (NO) from inactivation (Ting et al., 1997). The anti-atherogenic actions of antioxidants are commonly linked to the inhibition of lipoprotein oxidation (Alwan et al., 2011; Heinecke 1998). Although the results from the in vitro studies have clearly showed vitamin E as an effective lipid peroxidation chain breaking antioxidant and potentially anti-atherosclerotic properties, results

MDA	NFHe (n=12)	NFHs (n=25)	NFHe vs. NFHs		
	(% ∆)	(% <u>)</u>			
2 weeks	-10.0 <u>+</u> 2.7	-13.4 <u>+</u> 6.9	NS		
12 weeks	-8.7 <u>+</u> 2.7	-41.4 <u>+</u> 3.3	p<0.0001		
F ₂ -isoprostanes	NFHe (n=12)	NFHs (n=25)	NFHe vs. NFHs		
	(% ∆)	(% ∆)			
2 weeks	-2.1 <u>+</u> 11.7	2.8 <u>+</u> 29.1	NS		
12 weeks	-26.9 <u>+</u> 15.3	-15.8 <u>+</u> 24.4	NS		
ox-LDL	NFHe (n=12)	NFHs (n=25)	NFHe vs. NFHs		
	(% ∆)	(% ∆)			
2 weeks	-8.7 <u>+</u> 4.5	26.1 <u>+</u> 20.0	NS		
12 weeks	-18.6 <u>+</u> 8.0	37.1 <u>+</u> 19.5	p <0.05		

Table 5. Comparison of % Delta change of oxidative stress markers among NFH groups

Note: NS indicates not significant. Data are expressed mean \pm SEM. % Δ indicates percentage delta change

from the vitamin E clinical trials are scarce and contradictory (Niki, 2015). However, most of the clinical trials used α -tocopherol as the main source of vitamin E. When used as secondary prevention, α -tocopherol did not reduce the cardiovascular endpoints and was not suggested for inclusion of future primary and secondary prevention trials in patients at high risk of CAD (Vivekananthan et al., 2003). In the same study, it was reported that vitamin E effectively inhibits the progression of atherosclerosis at the early stage. Therefore, in this study, patients with non - familial hypercholesterolaemia (NFH) who had no previous CAD were recruited. Furthermore, TRF has been used as a source of vitamin E in this study due to its potential as a more potent antioxidant compared to tocopherol. In addition, it has been proposed that α -Tocopherol at high concentrations might have pro-oxidant effects (Mutalib et al., 2003). Supplementation with high doses of α -Tocopherol (2000 mg/day) in a randomised placebo-controlled study which involved healthy adults as the subjects had no effect on the reduction of lipid peroxidation products (Meagher and FitzGerald, 2000). It has suggested that α -Tocopherol supplementation in human subjects is undesirable as it does more harm than good (Gee, 2011). Experimental data have clearly demonstrated that tocotrienols have therapeutic potential against various degenerative diseases, including atherosclerosis and its complications such as CAD, whereas tocopherol is ineffective. It is hence timely for pure tocotrienol isomers and TRF to be evaluated clinically to fully explore their chemopreventive and therapeutic potential in view of the numerous positive in vitro and in vivo studies, and to a very limited extent, human intervention trials (Gee, 2011).

In terms of lipid lowering effects of TRF, the reports from various investigators have been

controversial and need to be further investigated (Tomeo *et al.*, 1995; Mensink *et al.*, 1999; O'Byrne *et al.*, 2000). In the literature review, the evidence of TRF in the reduction of cholesterol and oxidative stress especially in NFH patients are scarce and controversial. In this present study, we have found significant reduction of TC, LDL, MDA, F2isoprostanes and ox-LDL levels by 12 weeks post – treatment with TRF in NFH patients.

Supplementation of TRF containing 40 mg/ day tocotrienols has shown significant reduction in TC and LDL in NFH patients at 12 weeks with % reduction of 5 and 9% respectively. Although a placebo group was not included in this study, both treatments arms were not on lifestyle modification including no dietary counseling was given throughout 12 weeks study period. Subjects were advised to continue with their usual lifestyle. Qureshi et al. (2002) reported the similar finding with the higher percentage reduction of TC and LDL by 14 and 18% reduction respectively. This may be due to the restricted dietary regimen using AHA Step 1 diet experienced by the HC subjects before being enrolled in that study and slightly higher dosage of tocotrienol (50 mg/day).

It has been suggested that, the lipid lowering activity of tocotrienol was due to the presence of three double bonds in the isoprenoid chain that appear to be essential for the inhibition of cholesterogenesis by higher cell penetration (Qureshi et al., 2002). Tocotrienols influence the mevalonate pathway in mammalian cells by post-transcriptional suppression of HMG-CoA reductase (HMGR). This lipid lowering activity of tocotrienol was also due to the side chain unique ability to increase cellular fernesol, a mevalonate derived product, which signals the proteolytic degradation of HMG-CoA reductase (Vasanthi et al., 2012). It has been suggested that gamma and delta tocotrienol (lack of 5 methyl substitution) are significantly more potent than alpha tocotrienol in suppressing HMGR (Vasanthi et al., 2012).

Oxidative stress status in vivo can also be measured by secondary lipid peroxidation products such as thiobarbituric acid reactive material or malondialdehyde (MDA) and isoprostanes (Gniwotta *et al.*, 1997). In this study, we found the reduction of MDA levels at 2 weeks and 12 weeks post-TRF supplementation (40 mg/day) in NFH patients. It is reported that TRF effects are beyond the lipid lowering activity (Sen *et al.*, 2007). Similarly, (Tomeo *et al.*, 1995) reported the significant decrease of TBARS after 12 months supplementation with TRF in patients with chronic atherosclerosis.

Another study done by Kawakami et al. (2007) reported that in rats given 0.8 mg/day (48 mg/day if converted in human), TRF have no effects on MDA level after 3 weeks post-treatment. This result is contradicting with the results obtained from this present study where 40 mg/day of TRF was sufficient to significantly reduce the MDA level by 2 weeks post-treatment. The difference in the results can be explained by the different composition of isomer contained in TRF in these two studies and different models of the study (human vs. rats). Kawakami et al. (2007) have used TRF containing only γ - isomer whereas, TRF (Palmvitee) contains a mixture of α , β , γ and δ isomers. The composition of the tocotrienol isomers in each TRF (Palmvitee) capsule is in this order: $\alpha > \gamma > \delta > \beta$ (42.3%>34.6%>20.2%>2.9%). This suggests that the TRF mixture may have a stronger and more potent effect in reducing of lipid peroxidation compared to γ - tocotrienol alone.

Although α -tocopherol have been suggested to reduce the F2-isoprostanes formation in hypercholesterolaemia, reports on the effects of TRF on plasma F2-isoprostanes levels are scarce and controversial (Davi *et al.*, 1997). In this study, we found that the ability of TRF (40 mg/day) in reducing F2-isoprostanes is comparable to statin at 12 weeks post-supplementation. However, it is not in the agreement with the findings by (Mustad *et al.*, 2002) who reported that, there was no reduction of F2- isoprostanes with TRF supplementation.

Mustad et al., (Mustad et al., 2002) have reported the non-beneficial effects of tocotrienol (200 mg/ day) towards the reduction of F2-isoprostanes among hypercholesterolaemic patients after 28 days posttreatment. It is possible that the differential in TRF dosage may have contributed to the contradictory finding by Mustad et al., (2002) compared to our present study (200 mg/day vs. 40 mg/day). TCT at lower concentration (40 mg/day) is more potent in the reduction of F2-isoprostanes compared to TCT at highest concentration (200 mg/day). So far, clinical trials investigating the effect of TRF on isoprostanes levels among HC patients are lacking. However, the beneficial effects of palm oil derived TRF in the reduction of isoprostanes level in animal study with other CAD risks (hypertension and diabetes) were positive and promising. Yoshida et al. (2007) for example have reported decreased levels of F2isoprostanes in a group of rats supplemented with α -tocotrienol for 1 month compared to control mice which were given a vitamin E-free diet. Bayorh et al. (2005) have studied the effect of crude palm oil on F2-isoprostanes level in hypertensive rats and found that crude palm oil potentially suppressed the F2isoprostanes elevation in hypertensive salt-induced rats. In that study it was suggested that the protective effect of palm oil may be related to the decrease in oxidative stress and preservation of endothelial function. In diabetic induced rats, it was found that crude rice bran derived TRF had reduced urinary F2isoprostanes and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels compared to placebo (Kanaya *et al.*, 2004). In this study, NFHe and NFHs are reported to exhibit similar reduction of F2-isoprostanes at 12 weeks and are not reflected by the greater cholesterol lowering activity of statin.

Treatment to oxidatively modify LDL-c should be considered in addition to lowering its plasma LDL-c levels to prevent CAD. To date, no study has reported the effect of TRF on ox-LDL levels in NFH patients. To the best of our knowledge, this present study is among the earliest to report it. Supplementation of tocopherol alone has shown no beneficial effects in the reduction of ox-LDL, and furthermore it increased the plasma ox-LDL concentrations after 12 weeks post-treatment in dialysis patients (Diepeveen et al., 2005). In this study, ox-LDL levels was found to be significantly decreased in serum of NFH patients after 12 weeks supplementation with TRF compared to BL. It is postulated that when ox-LDL levels is reduced, the formation of foam cells may be attenuated thus leading to the regression of the atherosclerotic plaque (Tedgui and Mallat, 2006). In addition, ox-LDL reduction may lead to decrease monocyte adhesion to endothelial cells and foam cells formation (Itabe et al., 2011). Therefore, tocotrienol at 40 mg/day in NFH patients may provide beneficial effects in the prevention of atherosclerosis. In this study, it has been shown that the NFHe group had greater percentage reduction of ox-LDL at 12 weeks compared to NFHs and again it is not reflected by the greater cholesterol lowering activity of statin.

Oxidative stress is pivotal in atherogenesis and plaque instability leading to atherosclerosis related complications such as acute myocardial infarction (AMI) (Falk, 2006). Despite of being less potent in LDL lowering effects compared to atorvastatin, TRF is comparable to atorvastatin in terms of attenuating oxidative stress. This is particularly so in a marked reduction of ox-LDL with TRF on effects which were absent with statin. Given that oxidative stress and ox-LDL play an important role in atherogenesis, there is a great potential for TRF as an anti-atherosclerotic agent perhaps in part as a cholesterol lowering agent but more so as an antioxidant.

Conclusion

TRF reduces serum LDL-c level in NFH patients but to a lesser degree compared to statin. However, despite a less potent cholesterol lowering effects, TRF reduces oxidative stress which is comparable to statin but it is more potent in attenuating ox-LDL. TRF has a great potential in the prevention of atherosclerosis in part not only due to its cholesterol lowering activity, but perhaps more effective as a potent antioxidant. Further studies are needed to address clinical endpoints and other surrogate markers of regressions of atherosclerosis.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to Andrew Sinit for technical support in the malondialdehyde (MDA) assay. We would to acknowledge Dr Annuar Rapaee for his contribution in patient management in the clinic. This study was supported by a research grant awarded to the corresponding author, Prof Dr. Hapizah Nawawi from the Malaysian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (grant no: IRPA 06-02-02-0132). Palmvitee capsules were a gift from Sime Darby Sdn. Bhd., Malaysia. Each author has no conflict of interest involved in this study.

References

- Aggarwal, B. B., Sundaram, C., Prasad, S. and Kannappan, R. 2010. Tocotrienols, the Vitamin E of the 21st Century: Its potential against cancer and other chronic diseases. Biochemical Pharmacology 80(11): 1613–1631.
- Alwan, M. R., Krasilshchikov O., Tengku, T. M. and Shihabudin, M. 2011. The effects of tocotrienol supplementation on the muscle contractile properties, fatigue and antioxidant enzymes activities of gastrocnemius muscle of trained and untrained rats. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research 5(14): 3015– 3019.
- Bayorh, M. A., Abukhalaf, I. K. and Ganafa, A. A. 2005. Effect of palm oil on blood pressure, endothelial function and oxidative stress. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition 14(4): 325–339.
- Davi, G., Alessandrini, P., Mezzetti, A., Minotti, G., Bucciarelli, T., Costantini, F., Cipollone, F., Bon, G. B., Ciabattoni, G. and Patrono, C.1997. In vivo formation of 8-epi-prostaglandin F2 alpha is increased in hypercholesterolemia. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology 17(11): 3230–3235.
- Diepeveen, S. H., Verhoeven, G., W., Van Der Palen, J., Dikkeschei, L. D., Van Tits, L. J., Kolsters, G., Offerman, J. J., Bilo, H. J. and Stalenhoef, A. F. 2005. Effects of atorvastatin and vitamin E on lipoproteins and oxidative stress in dialysis patients: a randomised-

controlled trial. Journal of Internal Medicine 257(5): 438–445.

- Falk, E. 2006. Pathogenesis of Atherosclerosis. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 47(Suppl. 8): C7–12.
- Galli, F., Azzi, A., Birringer, M., Cook-Mills, J. M., Eggersdorfer, M., Frank, J., Cruciani, G., Lorkowski, S. and Özer, N. K. 2017. Vitamin E: Emerging aspects and new directions. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 102: 16-36
- Gee, P. T. 2011. Unleashing the Untold and Misunderstood Observations on Vitamin E. Genes and Nutrition 6(1): 5–16.
- Gniwotta, C., Morrow, J. D., Roberts L. J. and Kuhn, H. 1997. Prostaglandin F2-like compounds, F2isoprostanes, are present in increased amounts in human atherosclerotic lesions. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology 17(11): 3236– 3241.
- Gresser, U. and Gathof, B. S. 2004. Atorvastatin: Gold standard for prophylaxis of myocardial ischemia and stroke - comparison of the clinical benefit of statins on the basis of randomized controlled endpoint studies. European Journal of Medical Research 9(1): 1–17.
- Heinecke, J W. 1998. Oxidants and antioxidants in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis: Implications for the oxidized low density lipoprotein hypothesis. Atherosclerosis 141(1): 1–15.
- Itabe, H., Obama T. and Kato, R. 2011. The Dynamics of Oxidized LDL during Atherogenesis. Journal of Lipids 2011(418313): 1–9.
- Kamat, J. P., Sarma, H. D., Devasagayam, T. P., Nesaretnam, K. and Basiron, Y. 1997. Tocotrienols from palm oil as effective inhibitors of protein oxidation and lipid peroxidation in rat liver microsomes. Molecular and Cellular biochemistry 170(1-2): 131–137.
- Kanaya, Y., Doi, T., Sasaki, H., Fujita, A., Matsuno, S., Okamoto, K., Nakano, Y., Tsujiwaki, S., Furuta, H., Nishi, M., Tsuno, T., Taniguchi, H. and Nanjo, K. 2004. Rice Bran extract prevents the elevation of plasma peroxylipid in kkay diabetic mice. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 66 (Suppl. 1): S157– 610.
- Kawakami, Y., Tsuzuki T, Nakagawa K. and Miyazawa T. 2007. Distribution of tocotrienols in rats fed a rice bran tocotrienol concentrate. Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry 71(2): 464–471.
- Kushi, L. H., Folsom, A. R., Prineas, R. J., Mink, P. J., Wu, Y. and Bostick, R. M. 1996. Dietary antioxidant vitamins and death from coronary heart disease in postmenopausal women. The New England Journal of Medicine 334(18): 1156–1162.
- Ledwozyw, A., Michalak, J., Stepien A. and Kadziolka, A. 1986. The relationship between plasma triglycerides, cholesterol, total lipids and lipid peroxidation products during human atherosclerosis. Clinica Chimica Acta; International Journal of Clinical Chemistry 155(3): 275–283.
- Maclellan, M. 1983. Palm Oil. Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society 60(2): 368–373.

- Muid et al./IFRJ 25(4): 1410-1418
- Madamanchi, N. R., Vendrov, A. and Runge, M. S. 2005. Oxidative stress and vascular disease. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology 25(1): 29–38.
- Meagher, E. A. and FitzGerald G. A. 2000. Indices of Lipid Peroxidation in vivo: Strengths and Limitations. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 28(12): 1745–1750.
- Mehta, J. L., Li, D. Y., Chen, H. J., Joseph, J. and Romeo, F. 2001. Inhibition of LOX-1 by statins may relate to upregulation of eNOS. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 289(4): 857–861.
- Mensink, R. P., Van Houwelingen, A. C., Kromhout, D. and Hornstra, G. 1999. A Vitamin E concentrate rich in tocotrienols had no effect on serum lipids, lipoproteins, or platelet function in men with mildly elevated serum lipid concentrations. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 69(2): 213–219.
- Miyazawa, T., Shibata, A., Nakagawa, K. and Tsuzuki, T. 2008. Anti-angiogenic function of tocotrienol. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition 17 (1): 253-256.
- Mustad, V. A., Smith, C.A., Ruey, P. P., Edens, N. K. and DeMichele, S. J. 2002. Supplementation with 3 compositionally different tocotrienol supplements does not improve cardiovascular disease risk factors in men and women with hypercholesterolemia. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 76(6): 1237– 1243.
- Mutalib, M. S. A., Huzwah, K. and Klaus, W. J. W. 2003. Palm-tocotrienol rich fraction (TRF) is a more effective Inhibitor of LDL oxidation and endothelial cell lipid peroxidation than α -Tocopherol in vitro. Food Research International 36(5): 405–413.
- Nawawi, H., Osman, N. S., Annuar, R., Khalid B. A. K. and Yusoff, K. Soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and interleukin-6 levels reflect endothelial dysfunction in patients with primary hypercholesterolaemia treated with atorvastatin. Atherosclerosis 169 (2) : 283-291.
- Niki E. 2015. Evidence for beneficial effects of vitamin E. The Korean Journal of Internal Medicine 30(5): 571–579.
- Nourooz-Zadeh, J., Smith, C. C. and Betteridge, D. J. 2001. Measures of oxidative stress in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia. Atherosclerosis 156(2): 435–441.
- O'Byrne, D., Grundy, S., Packer, L., Devaraj, S., Baldenius, K., Hoppe, P. P., Kraemer, K., Jialal, I. and Traber, M. G. 2000. Studies of LDL oxidation following alpha-, gamma-, or delta-tocotrienyl acetate supplementation of hypercholesterolemic humans. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 29(9): 834–845.
- Pearce, B. C., Parker, R. A., Deason, M. E., Dischino, D. D., Gillespie, E., Qureshi, A. A., Volk, K. and Wright, J. J. 1994. Inhibitors of cholesterol biosynthesis. 2. Hypocholesterolemic and antioxidant activities of Benzopyran and Tetrahydronaphthalene Analogues of the Tocotrienols. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 37(4): 526–541.
- Qureshi, A. A., Peterson D. M., Hasler-Rapacz J. O. and Rapacz, J. 2001. Novel tocotrienols of rice bran suppress cholesterogenesis in hereditary hypercholesterolemic swine. The Journal of Nutrition

131(2): 223–230.

- Qureshi, A. A., Sami, S. A., Salser, W. A. and Khan, F. A. 2002. Dose-dependent suppression of serum cholesterol by tocotrienol-rich fraction (TRF25) of Rice Bran in Hypercholesterolemic Humans. Atherosclerosis 161: 199–207.
- Ridker, P. M., Brown, N. J., Vaughan, D. E., Harrison, D. G. and Mehta J. L. 2004. Established and Emerging Plasma Biomarkers in the Prediction of First Atherothrombotic Events. Circulation 109(25 Suppl 1): IV6–IV19.
- Ridker, P. M. 2003. Clinical application of C-Reactive Protein for cardiovascular disease detection and prevention. Circulation 107: 363–369.
- Rimm, E. B., Stampfer, M. J., Ascherio, A., Giovannucci, E., Colditz, G. A. and Willett, W. C. 1993. Vitamin E consumption and the risk of coronary heart disease in men. The New England Journal of Medicine 328(20): 1450–1456.
- Sen, C. K., Khanna, S., Rink, C. and Roy, S. 2007. Tocotrienols: The emerging face of natural Vitamin E. Vitamins and Hormones 76: 203-261.
- Tedgui, A. and Mallat, Z. 2006. Cytokines in Atherosclerosis: Pathogenic and Regulatory Pathways. Physiological Reviews 86(2): 515-581.
- Ting, H. H., Timimi, F. K., Haley, E. A., Roddy, M. A., Ganz, P. and Creager, M. A. 1997. Vitamin C improves endothelium-dependent vasodilation in forearm resistance vessels of humans with hypercholesterolemia. Circulation 95(12): 2617– 2622.
- Tomeo, A. C., Geller, M., Watkins, T. R., Gapor, A. and Bierenbaum, M. L. 1995. Antioxidant effects of tocotrienols in patients with hyperlipidemia and carotid stenosis. Lipids 30(12): 1179-1183.
- Vasanthi, H. R., Parameswari, R. P. and Das, D. K. 2012. Multifaceted role of tocotrienols in cardioprotection supports their structure: Function relation. Genes and Nutrition 7: 19–28.
- Vivekananthan, D. P., Penn, M. S., Sapp, S. K., Hsu, A. and Topol, E. J. 2003. Use of antioxidant vitamins for the prevention of cardiovascular disease: Meta-analysis of randomised trials. Lancet 361(9374): 2017–2023.
- Wahlqvist, M.L. 2016. The rise of clinical nutrition science in North-East Asian. Asian Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition 25(3):437-443
- Whitworth, J. A. 2003. 2003 World Health Organization (WHO)/International Society of Hypertension (ISH) statement on management of hypertension. Journal of Hypertension 21:1983-1992.
- Wu, S. J., Liu, P. L. and Ng, L. T. 2008. Tocotrienol-rich fraction of palm oil exhibits anti-inflammatory property by suppressing the expression of inflammatory mediators in human monocytic cells. Molecular Nutrition and Food Research 52(8):921-929
- Yam, M. L., Hafid, S. R. A., Cheng, H. M. and Nesaretnam, K. 2009. Tocotrienols suppress proinflammatory markers and cyclooxygenase-2 expression in RAW264.7 macrophages. Lipids 44: 787–797.
- Yang R. L., Shi, Y. H., Hao, G., Li, W. and Le, G. W.

2008. Increasing oxidative stress with progressive hyperlipidemia in human: Relation between malondialdehyde and atherogenic index. Journal of Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition 43(3): 154–158.

- Yoshida, Y., Hayakawa, M., Habuchi, Y., Itoh, N. and Niki, E. 2007. Evaluation of lipophilic antioxidant efficacy in vivo by the biomarkers hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid and isoprostane. Lipids 42(5): 463–472.
- Zahara, A. M., Lee, C. C., Fatimah, I. S., Poh, B. K., Khairul, O., Das. S. and Mohamad, J. 2010. Plasma vitamin C and tocotrienols changes in response to dietary supplementation among young male adults. La Clinica Terapeutica 161(2): 121–124.